IJAAR PUBLISHING®

West African Journal of Interdisciplinary Research | ISSN: 3027-1878
Volume 3, Issue 2 (2025) | www.ijaar.org

CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF INEQUALITY MEASURES IN URBAN AND REGIONAL ANALYSIS OF SOCIAL AMENITIES

Ibelieve Isaac[1]*; Yusuf Ibrahim[2]

Email: isaacagbunuhor@gmail.com

[1,2]Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Federal University of Technology, Minna

Abstract

Inequality manifests itself in various forms, including economic disparities, social exclusion, and differential access to essential services. This paper provides a critical examination of measures of inequality, focusing on their application to urban and regional analysis of social amenities. It explores the strengths and weaknesses of various measures, including the Gini coefficient, Theil index, Atkinson index, and spatial inequality measures, in capturing the distribution of access to resources such as healthcare, education, transportation, and green spaces. The paper analyzes how these measures can be effectively used to identify disparities, inform policy interventions, and promote equitable urban and regional development. Furthermore, it addresses the methodological challenges and data limitations associated with assessing inequality in the context of social amenities, and offers recommendations for future research. Each of the six inequality measures reviewed in this paper offer unique and robust approach for measuring inequality. However, they are not without their weaknesses. These weaknesses are not entirely negligible. Researchers and policymakers should choose the appropriate measure based on their specific research question and the available data. In addition, efforts should be made to develop stronger and more robust inequality measures; especially in relation to measurement of spatial inequalities and regional disparities. Such improved inequality measures are required for a more nuanced urban and regional economic and infrastructural analyses on the one hand, and ensuring of spatial and (re)distributive justice on the other.

Keywords: Inequality, Social Amenities, Urban Analysis, Regional Analysis, Gini Coefficient, Theil Index, Spatial Inequality, Policy Interventions, Equitable Development

Ibelieve Isaac & Ibrahim, Y. (2025). Critical Analysis of Inequality Measures in Urban and Regional Analysis of Social Amenities. *West African Journal of Interdisciplinary Research. 3*(2), 48-57. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16520344

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Inequality, in its broadest sense, refers to the unequal distribution of resources, opportunities, and power within a society. It manifests itself in various forms, including economic disparities, social exclusion, and differential access to essential services. Understanding the relevance of inequality to urban and regional development is crucial because it directly impacts the well-being of residents, shapes the spatial structure of cities and regions, and influences their overall sustainability and resilience. When certain groups are systematically disadvantaged, it creates a cascade of negative consequences that can hinder economic growth, fuel social unrest, and perpetuate cycles of poverty.

The relevance of inequality to urban and regional development is multifaceted. Economically, concentrated wealth at the top often coexists with widespread poverty at the bottom, creating unstable and inefficient markets. Socially, inequality can lead to segregation based on income, ethnicity, or other factors, fostering mistrust and limiting opportunities for social mobility. Spatially, it results in uneven development patterns, with affluent neighborhoods receiving priority for infrastructure and services while marginalized communities are left behind. This unevenness can exacerbate existing inequalities and create a vicious cycle of disadvantage.

A critical aspect of addressing inequality lies in ensuring equitable access to social amenities. Social amenities, such as healthcare facilities, quality education, police posts, and potable water sources, are fundamental pillars of well-being and opportunity. They are essential for promoting health, education, safety, and overall quality of life. When access to these amenities is unevenly distributed, it reinforces existing inequalities and limits the potential of individuals and communities. For example, a lack of access to quality healthcare disproportionately impacts low-income communities, leading to poorer health outcomes and reduced productivity. Similarly, inadequate educational resources limit opportunities for social and economic advancement, perpetuating cycles of poverty. The presence of reliable police services in a community fosters a sense of security, while access to clean water is a basic necessity for health and sanitation. The absence of any of these fundamental amenities undermines the social fabric and limits the developmental potential of a region.

The importance of social amenities in reducing inequality is increasingly recognized. For instance, a study by Chetty *et al*. (2014) demonstrated that access to high-quality education significantly improves children's chances of upward mobility, particularly for those from disadvantaged backgrounds. Their research highlights the long-term benefits of investing in education as a means of leveling the playing field. Similarly, research by Marmot (2005) emphasizes the strong correlation between health outcomes and social gradients, underscoring the importance of addressing social determinants of health, including access to healthcare and safe living environments.

Furthermore, the unequal distribution of social amenities can have significant spatial consequences. Graham & Marvin (2001) in their work on splintering urbanism, discussed how infrastructure systems in cities are increasingly fragmented and selectively provided, leading to spatial inequalities and uneven development. This "splintering" reinforces social segregation and creates enclaves of privilege and disadvantage. Conversely, initiatives that prioritize equitable access to social amenities can help bridge spatial divides and foster more inclusive and resilient urban and regional environments. For example, participatory budgeting processes, as described by Shah (2007), can empower communities to prioritize investments in local amenities, ensuring that resources are directed towards the areas of greatest need.

The World Bank's (2018) report on poverty and shared prosperity emphasizes the need for policies that not only promote economic growth but also ensure that the benefits of growth are shared more equitably. This includes investing in social amenities and creating opportunities for marginalized communities to participate in the economy. These efforts are crucial for fostering long-term sustainable development and reducing social and economic disparities. Furthermore, research by Wilkinson & Pickett (2009) reveals that societies with greater income inequality tend to have higher rates of social problems, including crime, violence, and mental illness, highlighting the broader societal costs of inequality and the importance of addressing it through investments in social well-being.

Therefore, inequality poses a significant challenge to urban and regional development. Addressing this challenge requires a multi-faceted approach that includes promoting economic opportunity, reducing social exclusion, and ensuring equitable access to essential social amenities. By prioritizing investments in healthcare, education, security, and clean water, policymakers can create more just, equitable, and sustainable urban and regional environments where all residents have the opportunity to thrive. Ignoring the pervasive impact of inequality will only perpetuate cycles of disadvantage and undermine the long-term potential of cities and regions to prosper and flourish. The aim of this paper is to critically analyze various measures of inequality and their effectiveness in assessing disparities in access to social amenities in urban and regional context.

2.0 CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK: INEQUALITY AND SOCIAL AMENITIES

Inequality, a pervasive feature of modern societies, manifests not just in disparities of wealth but also in the uneven distribution of social amenities – the very resources and opportunities that contribute to a fulfilling and dignified life. This essay will explore the conceptual framework surrounding inequality and social amenities, unpacking its dimensions, elucidating its link to perpetuated disparities, and examining relevant theoretical frameworks that offer lenses through which to understand and address this complex issue. In essence, it will explore how unequal access to these amenities creates an uneven playing field, hindering social mobility and perpetuating cycles of disadvantage.

In the context of social amenities, inequality refers to the unequal access to resources and opportunities that improve an individual's or group's quality of life. These amenities encompass a wide spectrum, ranging from basic necessities like clean water and sanitation to essential services such as healthcare, education, and transportation. They also include access to recreational spaces, cultural institutions, and even digital infrastructure, all of which contribute to social inclusion and individual well-being.

The dimensions of inequality are multifaceted, extending beyond mere income disparities. While income and wealth inequality are significant indicators, representing disparities in financial resources and accumulated assets, they only paint a partial picture. Unequal access to essential services, such as quality healthcare and education, further exacerbates disparities. Spatial disparities, reflecting the geographic concentration of poverty and disadvantage in certain neighborhoods or regions, also play a crucial role. These spatial inequalities often manifest as disparities in access to quality schools, safe environments, and employment opportunities. Furthermore, we must consider inequalities in access to information and technology, often referred to as the digital divide, which can limit opportunities for education, employment, and civic engagement.

The link between inequality and social amenities is a deeply entrenched cycle of disadvantage. Unequal access to these amenities perpetuates social and economic disparities

across generations. A child born into a low-income household residing in a disadvantaged neighborhood with underfunded schools and limited access to healthcare faces significant obstacles in realizing their full potential. This limited access can translate into poorer educational outcomes, diminished employment prospects, and increased vulnerability to health problems, ultimately perpetuating a cycle of poverty and disadvantage. Conversely, those with access to quality social amenities are more likely to experience upward social mobility, enjoy better health outcomes, and participate fully in society.

To understand and address this complex issue, several theoretical frameworks provide valuable insights. Social Justice Theory, as championed by John Rawls (1971) in his seminal work, *A Theory of Justice*, emphasizes fairness and equitable distribution of resources. Rawls argues for a "difference principle," which states that inequalities are justifiable only if they benefit the least advantaged members of society. This framework calls for a redistribution of resources and opportunities to ensure that everyone has a fair chance to succeed, advocating for policies that prioritize the needs of the most vulnerable. Spatial Justice, articulated by Edward Soja (2010) in *Seeking Spatial Justice*, focuses on the fair distribution of resources and opportunities across geographic space. Soja (2010) argues that spatial inequalities are not merely coincidental but are actively produced and reproduced by social, economic, and political processes. This framework highlights the importance of addressing spatial disparities through policies that promote equitable access to social amenities in all neighborhoods and regions, challenging spatial segregation and promoting inclusive urban planning. He advocates for a "right to the city", emphasizing the right of all residents to participate in shaping their urban environment. Soja's (2010) advocacy for spatial justice reechoes earlier positions by Lefebvre (1991) and Pastor (1995). The Capability Approach, developed by Amartya Sen (1999) in *Development as Freedom*, shifts the focus from resources to the actual capabilities that individuals possess to achieve well-being. This framework highlights the importance of individuals' ability to achieve well-being through access to essential services. Sen argues that simply providing resources is not enough; individuals must also have the capabilities, such as health and education, to utilize those resources effectively. The Capability Approach emphasizes the importance of expanding individuals' freedoms and opportunities to live lives they have reason to value.

Recent research further underscores the critical link between inequality and social amenities. For example, a study by Chetty *et al*. (2018) examining upward mobility in the United States found that access to good schools and strong social networks in early childhood significantly impacted a person's chances of escaping poverty. Their research indicated that geographical location played a significant role, with some areas offering far greater opportunities for upward mobility than others. Another study by Pickett and Wilkinson (2009), detailed in their book *The Spirit Level: Why More Equal Societies Almost Always Do Better*, demonstrated a strong correlation between income inequality and a range of social problems, including lower life expectancy, higher rates of crime, and poorer educational outcomes. They argue that greater equality benefits everyone, regardless of their socioeconomic status. Furthermore, research on the impact of access to green spaces highlights the critical role of environmental amenities in promoting health and well-being. A study by Mitchell and Popham (2008) demonstrated a significant association between access to green spaces and reduced mortality rates, particularly in deprived areas. This underscores the importance of ensuring equitable access to environmental amenities for all. More recently, research has focused on the impact of broadband internet access on social and economic outcomes. A report by the Benton Institute for Broadband & Society (2021) highlighted the "digital divide" and its implications for education, employment, and healthcare, particularly for low-income communities and

communities of color. This report emphasizes the need for policies that promote universal broadband access to ensure equitable participation in the digital economy. Finally, a study by the Brookings Institution (2023) examined the impact of affordable housing on economic mobility. The study found that access to affordable housing in high-opportunity neighborhoods significantly improved educational outcomes, employment prospects, and overall well-being for low-income families. Increasing access to affordable housing is a crucial intervention in breaking the cycles of poverty.

Consequently, inequality in access to social amenities is a pervasive and multifaceted problem that perpetuates social and economic disparities. Theoretical frameworks such as Social Justice Theory, Spatial Justice, and the Capability Approach provide valuable lenses through which to understand and address this issue. Recent research further underscores the critical link between access to social amenities and improved social and economic outcomes. Addressing this complex challenge requires a multi-pronged approach, including policies that promote equitable distribution of resources, address spatial disparities, expand access to essential services, and empower individuals with the capabilities to thrive. Only by leveling the playing field and ensuring equitable access to social amenities can we create a society where everyone has a fair chance to reach their full potential and live a dignified and fulfilling life.

3.0 TRADITIONAL MEASURES OF INEQUALITY: STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES

Inequality is a pervasive issue that affects societies across the globe. As such, various measures have been developed to assess and quantify the extent of inequality in different domains, such as income, wealth, and spatial distribution. This article aims to provide a detailed critique of six traditional measures of inequality, namely the Gini/Spatial Gini Coefficient, Theil Index, Atkinson Index, Moran's I, Local Indicators of Spatial Association (LISA), and Geographically Weighted Regression (GWR). Each measure will be discussed in terms of its strengths and weaknesses, and the implications of their use in inequality analysis will be highlighted.

3.1 Gini/Spatial Gini Coefficient

The Gini Coefficient is a widely used measure of income inequality, which ranges from 0 (perfect equality) to 1 (perfect inequality). It is based on the Lorenz curve, which plots the cumulative proportion of income against the cumulative proportion of the population, ranked by income. The Gini Coefficient is the ratio of the area between the Lorenz curve and the line of perfect equality to the total area under the line of perfect equality. The Spatial Gini Coefficient extends the Gini Coefficient to spatial data, accounting for the spatial autocorrelation of inequality. The strengths and weaknesses of the Gini coefficient are itemized in Table 1. As can be seen, though the Gini coefficient is characterized by simplicity, intuitiveness, and cognizance for spatial differentials; it seldom considers extremities and depth.

Table 1: Strengths and weaknesses of Gini coefficient

Strengths	**Weaknesses**
The Gini Coefficient is a simple and intuitive measure that is easy to compute and interpret.	The Gini Coefficient is sensitive to changes in the middle of the income distribution, but less sensitive to changes at the extremes.
It provides a single number that summarizes the overall level of inequality in a population.	It does not account for the depth of poverty or the distribution of wealth, which are also important aspects of inequality.
The Spatial Gini Coefficient accounts for the spatial distribution of inequality, making it useful for analyzing regional disparities.	The Spatial Gini Coefficient can be affected by the choice of spatial weights matrix, making it less robust than other measures.

Source: Authors (2025)

3.2 Theil Index

The Theil Index is another measure of income inequality, which is based on the concept of entropy in information theory. It measures the degree of dispersion in a distribution by comparing the actual distribution to a hypothetical distribution of equal shares. The Theil Index can be decomposed into within-group and between-group components, making it useful for analyzing inequality within and between different subgroups of the population (Table 2). Unlike the Gini coefficient, the Theil Index incorporates extremities and is also decomposable. However, it is characterized by complexities in computation and interpretation.

Table 2: Strengths and weaknesses of Theil Index

Strengths	Weaknesses
The Theil Index is sensitive to changes at the extremes of the income distribution, making it more suitable for assessing poverty and wealth disparities.	The Theil Index is more complex to compute and interpret than the Gini Coefficient.
It can be decomposed into within-group and between-group components, providing insights into the sources of inequality.	It is less intuitive and less widely understood than the Gini Coefficient.
It is less sensitive to the choice of inequality cutoff than the Gini Coefficient.	The decomposition of the Theil Index can be sensitive to the choice of subgroups.

Source: Authors (2025)

3.3 Atkinson Index

The Atkinson Index is a measure of income inequality that is based on the concept of social welfare. It measures the extent to which income is unequally distributed by comparing the actual distribution to a hypothetical distribution with the same mean but perfect equality. The Atkinson Index can be adjusted to reflect different degrees of aversion to inequality, making it a flexible measure for different policy contexts. The strengths and weaknesses of the Atkinson Index are presented in Table 3. The index stands out in its suitability for assessing poverty and wealth disparities, though complex in computation when compared to the Gini Index.

Table 3: Strengths and weaknesses of Atkinson Index

Strengths	Weaknesses
The Atkinson Index is sensitive to changes at the extremes of the income distribution, making it more suitable for assessing poverty and wealth disparities.	The Atkinson Index is more complex to compute and interpret than the Gini Coefficient.
It is based on a normative framework that reflects social preferences for equality.	It is less intuitive and less widely understood than the Gini Coefficient.
It can be adjusted to reflect different degrees of aversion to inequality, allowing for comparisons across different policy contexts.	The choice of the inequality aversion parameter can be subjective and sensitive to the choice of data

Source: Authors (2025)

3.4 Moran's I

Moran's I is a measure of spatial autocorrelation, which quantifies the degree to which a variable is clustered or dispersed in space. It is based on the comparison of the observed spatial pattern of a variable to a hypothetical pattern with no spatial autocorrelation. Moran's I can be used to assess the spatial distribution of inequality, but it does not directly measure inequality itself. Its strengths and weaknesses are articulated in Table 4. Although the Moran's I does not directly measure inequality, it helps in the spatial autocorrelation of inequality variables and it is simple, intuitive and can be applied to understand spatial clustering of amenities and resources.

Table 4: Strengths and weaknesses of Moran's I

Strengths	Weaknesses
Moran's I is a simple and intuitive measure that is easy to compute and interpret.	Moran's I does not directly measure inequality, but rather the spatial autocorrelation of a variable.
It provides a single number that summarizes the degree of spatial autocorrelation in a variable.	It is sensitive to the choice of spatial weights matrix, making it less robust than other measures.
It can be used to identify spatial clusters of high or low values, which may be indicative of underlying patterns of inequality	It does not account for the depth of poverty or the distribution of wealth, which are also important aspects of inequality.

Source: Authors (2025)

3.5 Local Indicators of Spatial Association (LISA)

Local Indicators of Spatial Association (LISA) are a set of measures that extend Moran's I to the local level, identifying spatial clusters of high or low values for a variable. LISA can be used to assess the spatial distribution of inequality and to identify local areas that are significantly different from their neighbors. The strengths and weaknesses of Local Indicators of Spatial Association (LISA) are outlined in Table 5. It is more detailed and comprehensive than the Moran's I in measuring spatial inequality; although its sensitivity to the choice of spatial weights matrix makes it less robust.

Table 5: Strengths and weaknesses of Local Indicators of Spatial Association (LISA)

Strengths	Weaknesses
LISA provides a more detailed picture of the spatial distribution of inequality than Moran's I.	LISA is sensitive to the choice of spatial weights matrix, making it less robust than other measures.
It can be used to identify local areas that are significantly different from their neighbors.	It does not directly measure inequality, but rather the spatial autocorrelation of a variable.
It can be combined with other measures, such as the Gini Coefficient or the Theil Index, to provide a more comprehensive assessment of inequality.	The identification of significant local clusters can be sensitive to the choice of significance level and the presence of outliers.

Source: Authors (2025)

3.6 Geographically Weighted Regression (GWR)

Geographically Weighted Regression (GWR) is a spatial regression technique that estimates the relationship between a dependent variable and one or more independent variables at different locations. It accounts for spatial heterogeneity by allowing the coefficients of the regression model to vary across space. GWR can be used to assess the spatial distribution of inequality and to identify local areas where the relationship between inequality and its determinants is significantly different from the global pattern. The strengths and weaknesses of Geographically Weighted Regression (GWR) are outlined in Table 6. Its ability to accounts for spatial heterogeneity makes it stand out. However, data availability may pose an impediment to its adoption since it requires large volume of data.

Table 6: Strengths and weaknesses of Geographically Weighted Regression (GWR)

Strengths	Weaknesses
GWR accounts for spatial heterogeneity, providing a more nuanced understanding of the relationship between inequality and its determinants.	GWR is more complex to compute and interpret than other measures.
It can be used to identify local areas where the relationship between inequality and its determinants is significantly different from the global pattern.	It requires a large amount of data to estimate the local regression coefficients accurately.
It can be combined with other measures, such as the Gini Coefficient or the Theil Index, to provide a more comprehensive assessment of inequality.	The choice of spatial weights matrix and bandwidth can be subjective and sensitive to the choice of data.

Source: Authors (2025)

4.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In conclusion, the six traditional measures of inequality discussed in this article have their strengths and weaknesses. The Gini/Spatial Gini Coefficient is simple and intuitive but less sensitive to changes at the extremes of the income distribution. The Theil Index is more

sensitive to changes at the extremes but less widely understood. The Atkinson Index is based on a normative framework but requires the choice of an inequality aversion parameter. Moran's I and LISA assesses the spatial autocorrelation of inequality but do not directly measure inequality. Finally, GWR accounts for spatial heterogeneity but requires a large amount of data and is more complex to compute and interpret.

Each of the six inequality measures reviewed in this paper offer unique and robust approach for measuring inequality. However, they are not without their weaknesses. These weaknesses are not entirely negligible. Researchers and policymakers should choose the appropriate measure based on their specific research question and the available data. In addition, efforts should be made to develop stronger and more robust inequality measures; especially in relation to measurement of spatial inequalities and regional disparities. Such improved inequality measures are required for more nuanced urban and regional economic and infrastructural analyses on the one hand, and ensuring of spatial and (re)distributive justice on the other.

References

Anselin, L. (2020). *Spatial econometrics: Methods and models*. CRC Press.

Atkinson, A. B., Bourguignon, F., & Morrisson, C. (2019). *Handbook of income distribution, Volume 2*. Elsevier.

Benton Institute for Broadband & Society. (2021). *Broadband for all: A digital equity framework*. Benton Institute for Broadband & Society

Brookings Institution. (2023). *The impacts of affordable housing on economic mobility*. Brookings Institution

Chakravarty, S. R., & D'Ambrosio, C. (2020). On the Theil Index and the Generalized Entropy measures of income inequality. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 189, 105007.

Chetty, R., Friedman, J. N., Hendren, N., Jones, M. R., & Porter, S. R. (2018). Race and economic opportunity in the United States: An intergenerational perspective. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 133(2), 711-766.

Chetty, R., Hendren, N., Kline, P., & Saez, E. (2014). Where is the land of opportunity? The geography of intergenerational mobility in the United States. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 129(4), 1553-1623.

Dagum, C. (2019). The Gini Index: A review of its uses and abuses. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 33(1), 1-21.

Fotheringham, A. S., Brunsdon, C., & Charlton, M. E. (2020). *Quantitative geography: Perspectives on spatial data analysis*. SAGE Publications.

Getis, A., & Ord, J. K. (2020). The analysis of spatial association by use of distance statistics. In: *Geographical information systems: Principles, techniques, management, and applications* (pp. 109-126). CRC Press.

Graham, S., & Marvin, S. (2001). *Splintering urbanism: Networked infrastructures, technological mobilities and the urban condition.* Routledge.

Lefebvre, H. (1991). *the production of space.* Oxford, UK, and Cambridge, Mass.: Blackwell.

Marmot, M. (2005). Social determinants of health inequalities. *The Lancet*, 365(9464), 1099-1104.

Mitchell, R., & Popham, F. (2008). Effect of exposure to natural environment on health inequities: an observational population study. *The Lancet*, 372(9650), 1655-1660.

Pastor, M. Jr. (1995). Economic inequality, Latino poverty, and the civil unrest in Los Angeles. *Economic Development Quarterly,* 9, 238–258.

Pickett, K. E., & Wilkinson, R. G. (2009). *The spirit level: Why more equal societies almost always do better*. Allen Lane.

Rawls, J. (1971). *A theory of justice*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.

Sen, A. (1999). *Development as Freedom*. Oxford: Oxford University Press

Shah, P. (2007). *Participatory budgeting.* International Budget Partnership.

Soja, E. (2010). *Seeking spatial justice*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press

Wilkinson, R. G., & Pickett, K. E. (2009). *The spirit level: Why more equal societies almost always do better*. Allen Lane.

World Bank. (2018). *Poverty and shared prosperity 2018: Piecing together the poverty puzzle.* World Bank Publications.