IJAAR PUBLISHING®

Open Access Journal of Management Sciences Research | ISSN: 3027-1975
Vol. 2, Issue 4 (October-December, 2024) | www.ijaar.org

IDEALIZED INFLUENCE AND EMPLOYEES' COMMITMENT

Victor Barinua (Ph.D)
Department of Management, Faculty of Management Science
Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Port Harcourt Rivers State, Nigeria

Ogbulu Tamunonengiyeofori
Department of Management, Faculty of Management Science
Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Port Harcourt Rivers State, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

The intent of this study is to determine idealized influence and employee commitment. Additionally, this study examines how individual factors of leadership can impact levels of employee commitment. Idealized influence is among the key components of transformational leadership. Idealized influence entails encouraging followers to raise their consciousness about and elicit their commitment to the mission and vision of the organization. Idealized influence refers to the behaviors of a transformational leader that evoke in followers a sense of trust, admiration, respect, and the desire to emulate the leader. This study looked at various attributes of idealized influence, which include ethical values, trust, role models, and taking risks. The study concluded that in order to achieve employee commitment, idealized influence should be adopted. This study revealed that there was a positive relationship between idealized influence and employee commitment, implying that enhancement of idealized influence leads to better performance. The study recommends that leaders should express more enthusiasm to staff about what needs to be accomplished and continuously provide employees with an inspiring vision and mission to increase employee efforts in meeting and achieving set organizational goals and objectives.

Keywords: Idealized influence, employees' commitment¸ affective commitment, Normative Commitment

Barinua, V., & Tamunonengiyeofori, O. (2024). Idealized Influence and Employees' Commitment. *Open Access Journal of Management Sciences Research*. *2*(4), 56-70. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.14211873

Introduction

Idealized influence is one of the four components of transformational leadership identified as an independent variable for this study. Scholars examining this process assert that idealized influence refers to the idea that followers will trust and respect the leaders' ability to provide support and resources. As a result of this belief, employees will be willing to accept the directives provided by the leader, regardless of their complexity or difficulty. Idealized influence, at its core, represents the highest levels of moral reasoning and perspective-taking capacity. Such leaders are willing to sacrifice their own gain for the good of their work group, organization, and community. They set high standards for work conduct and are role models for those standards. They build trust in people because those who work with them know they are committed to the common good, and their sacrifices along the way evidence the consistency of their actions with their values. Transformational leaders, in applying idealized influence, can see the good in others first, and when it is not obvious, they work to bring it out through continuous endeavor.

Scholars studying this process find that idealized influence refers to the idea that followers trust and respect leaders for support and resources (Chu & Lai, 2011). As a result of this belief, employees will be willing to accept the directives of managers, regardless of complexity or difficulty (Chu and Lai, 2011). Although the role of idealized influence and its impact on organizational performance are often conceptualized as part of transformative leadership, there is evidence that idealized influence can affect certain aspects of leadership. In particular, idealized influence can have an impact on employee commitment and satisfaction by promoting commitment and motivation at work (Chen, 2004).

Employee commitment is a concept used by organizations around the world. It is used to describe your level of commitment to your work. Employee commitment is a psychological condition that binds an employee to an organization. Akintayo (2010) defines employee commitment as the degree to which the employee feels committed to his organization. Think of employee commitment as commitment to the employing organization and willingness to work. Ensuring employee commitment leads to quality organization performance, while a lack of commitment leads to poor organization performance. Commitment is usually associated with factors such as salary (good pay) and lack of salary (job security), personal characteristics, work environment, positive relationships, organizational structure, and management. Employee style and opportunity were identified by Jafr (2010) as factors affecting employee commitment. Commitment has three dimensions: continuity, affectivity, and regulation. The theory of transformative leadership has attracted the attention of several scholars over the past three decades (Givens, 2008).

Transformational leaders focus on developing their followers on both a personal and individual level. The ability of transformative leaders to care about the development of their followers has been associated with several positive organizational outcomes (Barnes, 2016). It was found that leaders who employ a transformative leadership style can enhance positive organizational outcomes such as employee commitment through traits such as idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individual consideration (Duggal, 2015). Raja and Palanichamy (2011) examined preferred leadership style versus organizational commitment among engineers at Bharat Heavy Electricals Ltd. in India. The study found that transformative leadership was the preferred leadership style as it improved the organizational commitment of engineers at Bharat Heavy Electricals Ltd. in India.

Lee (2005) extends this view in her study of the behavioral and relational aspects of leadership and employee commitment among researchers and development practitioners in Singapore manufacturing companies. Findings indicated that leadership and member sharing mediated the relationship between leadership and organizational commitment. Employers are sometimes confused when their high-quality employees underperform and others resign and leave the organization. Management does not understand why some employees are not committed to the organization, even though they have proactively implemented fair compensation policies and human resources (HR) practices to motivate and retain them. It can be costly when employees aren't engaged in their work and motivated to reach their full potential. Employee commitment as an individual attitude could be achieved through a variety of factors, but how it relates to organizational performance matters.

REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

Theoretical Review

Transformational Leadership Theory developed by Bass (1985)

The study was underpinned by the transformational leadership theory developed by Bass (1985). The transformational leadership theory was first conceptualized by Burns (1978) and then enhanced by Bass (1985). Burns (1978) first introduced the concept of transformational leadership in his research on political leaders and defined it as the processes in which the leaders and the subjects help each other advance to a higher level of morale and motivation. Bass (1985) described transformational leaders as leaders who facilitate their followers confidence, which results in performance beyond expectations. Bass and Avolio (1994) described transformational leaders as those who exhibit the following characteristics: idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration. Burns (1978) saw influence idealized as a leader's ability to be charismatic that draws followers to the leader, and they view the leader as a role model because of the leader's high morals and ethics. Bass (2008) expands on this view and describes idealized influences as a leader's ability to instill trust and communicate the organization's values and mission to followers. This trait also instills trust in followers because they are sure that everyone is working on common values and ethics, as well as the ability to overcome any obstacle. Idealized influence is a component of transformational leadership, which is about the leader being a strong role model for followers. Flowers admire their leader and trust his decision-making and vision. Leaders are respected, trusted, have ethical standards, and provide direction and vision, clearly articulating the organization's mission (Northhouse, 2013).

Individualized consideration is defined as the support, guidance, and concern leaders have for their followers to help them achieve their professional and personal goals. Antonaki et al. (2003) The transformative leader shows individual consideration by creating a supportive climate, listening to employees, and acting as a coach and mentor. Leaders are considerate of the needs of their employees. Leaders pay close attention to individual differences and treat their employees in the most caring manner. Leaders also help people achieve goals and develop personally. These types of leaders also use delegation to encourage their employees to grow through personal challenges (Northouse, 2015).

CONCEPTUAL REVIEW

Idealized Influence

Idealized affect refers back to the behaviors of a transformational chief that conjure up his or her fans' trust, admiration, recognition, and desire to emulate the chief. Those behaviors encompass thinking about the needs of their fans better than the chief's personal hobbies or

profits and demonstrating consistency and clever ethics in their conduct (Bass & Avolio, 1994). Similarly, Jandaghi et al. (2009) expressed that such leaders display excessive requirements of morals and ethics. By consequently doing so, fans might be inspired to emulate the chief, consequently elevating the bar in terms of their overall performance at work. Previous research has shown that ideal abilities have an immediate effect on character and overall performance (Bass & Avolio, 1994). Some literature equates idealized affect with charismatic management and explains that those transformational leaders act in a way that predisposes them to be taken into consideration as position models (Gomes, 2014; Ngaithe et al., 2016). Idealized affect has been related to a frontrunner who has charisma, is moral, and is capable of correctly communicating his or her imaginative and prescient vision for the organization to subordinates (Ngaithe et al., 2016). These leaders have strong non-public values that set them apart from relaxation and set up wonderful photos for their fans (Gomes, 2014).

The transformational management trend focuses on the occasion as well as the desires of fans. Managers with a transformational management trend goal the expansion and improvement of the essential machine of a group of employees, their sacred stage, and moralities with the preamble in their skills. The goal of transformational management might be to rework human beings and groups amongst a literal experience to differ them in mind, perception, and understanding. Reasons produce behavior congruent with values and ideas and bring about adjustments that are permanent, self-perpetuating, and momentum-building (Banjeri & Krishnan, 2000).

Certain precise behaviors had been used to represent the idealized affect of transformational leaders. First, this institution's leaders are normally excellent communicators, inspiring imaginative and prescient thoughts in their fans. They are capable of speaking their imaginative and prescient to subordinates in methods that they are able to very easily understand, supplying credible and enough facts to make the attainment of the imaginative and prescient or targets feasible through subordinates (Avolio & Yammarino, 2012). Secondly, those leaders will be inclined to utilize reinforcement structures to apprehend the involvement of the human beings they lead in the direction of the imaginative and prescient (Ngaithe et al., 2016). Through praise mechanisms, those leaders can correctly improve what they cost and inspire personnel to carry out their high quality to comprehend the set-up, which is imaginative and prescient (Gomes, 2014).

Transformational management takes place while a frontrunner turns into wiser and upholds the hobbies of the group of employees when they generate recognition and recognition for the goal and project of the cluster, consequently when they integrate the group of employees to seem like they face their personal self-hobbies for the good of the cluster. Perfect affect encompasses behaviors that instill pleasure in fans because they are associated with what is typically connoted as or synonymous with attractiveness. It shows that leaders can go beyond their character self-hobby for the greater good of the cluster and construct non-public sacrifices for others' profit (Awamleh & Gardner, 1999).

Using idealized influence, transformational leaders act in a manner that allows them to function as role models for their subordinates. Bass and Riggio (2006) are aware that transformational leaders are admired, respected, and trusted. Their subordinates pick them out for their charismatic personas and are drawn to emulate them. Besides, those leaders are endowed with the aid of their fans, having superb capabilities, endurance, and determination. Idealized leadership, at its center, represents the best tiers of ethical reasoning and

perspective-taking capacity. These leaders are inclined to sacrifice their personal benefits for the best interests of their organization. They set excessive requirements for work behavior and are a position version of those requirements. They agree with humans due to the fact that people who work for them recognize they're running in the direction of the not unusually good, and their sacrifices alongside the manner are proof of their consistency in their movements and values (Bass & Avolio, 1994). They are also people who see the best in others first, and while it isn't transparent, they work to construct it out with situations for humans. Leaders with idealized traits can first look at the way they communicate.

Dimensions of idealize Influence

Charisma

Charisma is a term that, in famous usage, describes the private enchantment of a super individual. Derived from a Greek phrase meaning "divine gift", the time period is usually used to represent the inexplicable pressure of this sort of personality. The crucial attributes of the idea of an air of mystery have now no longer been recognized in a scientific way, with the end result that the use of the time period, even in found-out discourse, is frequently extraordinarily ambiguous. Charisma is a time period that, in famous usage, describes the private enchantment of a super individual. The phrase mystery (plural charismata), initially used by St. Paul within the New Testament to describe "non-secular gift[s]," has improved its definition within the past hundred years. Academics, journalists, and the overall public now use the time period and its adjective, charismatic, to consult any super management or authority.

Ethics

Ethics, or ethical philosophy, is a branch of philosophy that "includes systematizing, defending, and recommending principles of proper and incorrect behavior." The discipline of ethics, at the side of aesthetics, issues subjects of cost; those fields include the department of philosophy referred to as axiology. Ethics seeks to solve questions of human morality by means of defining principles, which include right and wrong, proper and incorrect, distinctive feature and vice, justice and crime. As a discipline of highbrow inquiry, ethical philosophy is associated with the fields of ethical psychology, descriptive ethics, and cost theory. Ethics tells us how to behave in a positive state of affairs, no longer simply to obtain a specific goal but to think about the entirety around us. In enterprise, ethics confer with a hard and fast of expert or implemented ethics that assess or observe moral or ethical standards and moral or ethical troubles that seem in any enterprise environment. Issues concerning enterprise ethics stand up while there may be a battle between income maximization and the idea of social and prison duties of the enterprise. Business companies have become privy to their moral kingdom within the late Nineteen Eighties and early Nineteen Nineties to keep away from enterprise scandals like mortgage and financial savings crises.

Communication of Organization Vision

In an organizational context, vision communication refers back to the process of building a vision for the organization and communicating and speaking it to all of the personnel within the organization. It offers a motive of life to the organization in terms of in which to attain and the way to attain. According to Collins and Porras (1991), an amazingly imaginative and prescient organization is defined by the ideology that it stands for and brings out the raison d' etre for its existence. It is likewise an anticipated destiny that human beings aspire to attain. Vision is likewise vital within the generation of increasing complexity as it gives significant routes and attention to human beings and organizations.

In fact, an imaginative and prescient introduction must be pursued as a part of an energetic technique. Leaders have to make sure visions are linked to the values and ideals of others (Clawson, 2006), and that affects and evaluations from others are included in the visioning technique (Kakabadse et al., 2005) to draw commitment and action (Abu-Tineh et al., 2008). Leaders communicate their visions in numerous ways, including written statements and private communications (Kouzes & Posner, 1987). Kantabutra (2010) proposes that numerous imaginative and prescient attributes facilitate the imaginative and prescient communication technique among chiefs and followers. Further, imaginative and prescient is an imperative idea of transformational and charismatic leadership (Sosik & Dinger, 2007; Sheard et al., 2011; Searle & Hanrahan, 2011). Kark et al. (2003) described the time period as inspirational motivation because of the introduction and presentation of an appealing, imaginative, and prescient vision of destiny that demonstrates optimism and enthusiasm. The benefit of visioning is that it gives the reason as to why people must try to obtain goals (Densten, 2005; Searle & Hanrahan, 2011). McCann et al. (2006) defined transformational leaders as extremely good and having outstanding consequences for their followers, commonly by means of being capable of devoting them to the leaders and organization's imaginative and prescient

Employee Commitment

Employees' commitment has an extensive variety of meanings, making the idea elastic. For Vance (2006), employee commitment is "each the willingness to persist in a course of motion and reluctance to alternate plans, frequently attributable to an experience of duty to live on route" (p. 4). Furthermore, Akanbi and Itiola (2013) see employee commitment as the diploma to which personnel pick out with their organization and are given to engage in the set desires and goals in their organization. It may want to likewise be alluded to as how someone identifies himself or herself as an employee of an organization and the quantity of enthusiasm displayed in assembly up his or her activity roles (Mensah et al., 2016).

For Allen and Meyer (1996), employee commitment is the result of their mental attachment to their corporations, and this facilitates lessening the rate of turnover that could have happened in the event that they had been no longer committed. That is to say, employee commitment is the intellectual country that ties each person and manager, career, and organization together (Meyer & Allen, 1997). In addition, they diagnosed three varieties of employee commitment (affective, continuance, and normative commitment). In clarifying what this form of commitment is, Ibrahim and Perez (2014) defined affective commitment as the emotional attachment of an employee to his organization. Continuance commitment pertains to an employee's intrinsic duty due to organizational socialization and the stress of living with the organization. On the other hand, normative commitment refers back to the employee's desire to live with the organization or alternate his activity with the danger of incurring a loss. From the numerous definitions of employee commitments mentioned above, the following may be deduced: Employees' commitment has to do with an individual's affection for an organization, their conviction in its desires, and their attempt to attain those desires by becoming a part of that organization.

Measures of Employee Commitment

Affective Commitment

This is a man's, woman's, or personnel's emotional attachment to the organization. Employees who're devoted to an organization on an affective foundation hold running due to the fact they need to achieve this and that they view their non-public employment courting as congruent to the dreams and values of the organization. Meyer and Allen (2013) described affective commitment as an emotional belongingness toward organizations. Moreover, Meyer and Allen describe affective commitment as involvement, attachment, and nice emotions, along with the identity of personnel with the organization. In this case, the employee has established a strong attachment and courtship with the organization. Emotional attachments of personnel grow the sincerity for the organization, accept and comply with the jobs, and execute the organizational dreams effectively. Beck and Wilson (2000) give an explanation for why affective commitment is taken into consideration as the emotional attachment of someone to an organization and its requirements and principles.

Continuance Commitment

Meyer and Elyse (2010) describe continuance commitment as a man's or woman's desire to stay with the organization as a result of the price related to leaving the organization. Continuance commitment may appear as a device of connection to the organization in which the people related to the organization are primarily based on the evaluation of financial blessings gained. Employees expand their commitment to an organization due to the nice attempt to figure out the organization's dreams and values. Meyer and Allen (2013) outline continuance commitment as a price related to leaving an organization. On a broader spectrum, continuance commitment is taken into consideration as an employee's funding in the organization, as it's defined by Allen and Meyer (2013) as the funding of the employee's money, attempt, and time, and it's taken as a price if an employee desires to depart the organization. These sorts of investments make a blanket contribution to particular organizational development, such as pension plans, fame or skills, or the utilization of organizational blessings like minimizing loan rates. The price of leaving an organization may be minimized through the loss of alternatives, options, or unavoidable investments.

Normative Commitment

This is described as a man's or woman's emotions of responsibility to keep organizational beliefs due to the fact that they perceive it's morally proper to be unswerving to the organization (Meyer and Elyse, 2010). It is likewise visible because people's behavior is guided by a feeling of duty, responsibility, and loyalty toward the organization. Meyer and Allen (2013) give an explanation for normative commitment because of their responsibility and emotions to be with the employer. In real life, normative commitment confirms that a man or woman no longer needs to go away from the organization. A person feels a sense of belonging to the organization. A well-known employee shows priority with the organization due to the fact that the organization is favored through personnel. When a man or woman appears to seek fame, favors, and rewards, she or he indicates a feeling of attachment, honest duty, and responsibility. Normative commitment is said because the commitment of a man or woman to a positive organization with moral, fame, and development is given through the organization.

Normative commitment encourages staying with an organization for a longer period of time, efficient performance, and work satisfaction. Additionally, committed employees show higher performance in their jobs as compared to less committed employees. The study of Buchanan (2014) explains that there are five factors of organizational commitment: strong

intention to have organizational membership, acceptance of organizational values and goals, intention for the goals of the organization, positive evaluation, and willingness to apply extensive efforts to the work of the organization. Organizational commitment has three parts, such as belief in value acceptance and organizational goals, pursuit of organizational benefits, and desire for the maintenance of organizational positions.

Factors Influencing Employee Commitment

The following factors are the influencing factors of employee commitment according to Jafr (2010)

i. Personal characteristics
ii. Work environment
iii. Employment opportunities
iv. Organizational structure
v. Management style
vi. Positive relationships

1. Personal Characteristics

According to Nadeem et al. (2011), personal characteristics factors consist of demographic variables such as age, job security, and marital status.

Age: A range of demographical variables have been found to be related to employee commitment, but age has proved to be the most outstanding and studied variable. For a variety of reasons, age has been found to be a positive predictor of employee commitment. Studies suggest that the older employees become, the fewer alternative employment options are available, and as a result, older employees may view their current employment more favorably. Chan (2011) suggests that older employees may be more committed because they have a stronger investment and a greater history with their organization; hence, age has been found to be positively related. The explanation for this relationship is that there are fewer employment options available to older employees, and they realize that leaving may cost them more than staying. Meyer and Elsye (2010) found that both affective and normative employee commitment were significantly higher in older employees than younger employees.

Job Security: According to Steers (2011), job security is said to be an individual's expectations about continuity in a job situation. It is desirable for employees, who rank it as one of the most important factors in their commitment to an organization. Job security is the feeling of having a proper job and the assurance of its continuance in the future, as well as the absence of threats. Chan (2011) says that job security seems to be very low. The ongoing recapitalization has worsened the situation, as employees can just wake up and find themselves relieved of their jobs without proper notification. It is reported that in an attempt to restructure their workforce, they are terminating some of their staff; for example, LAPO Microfinance Bank Limited has relieved 500 staff of their jobs.

Marital Status: Another influential demographic factor that has a bearing on employee commitment is the marital status of the employee. Marital status has emerged as a consistent predictor of employee commitment. Findings reported by Jordan (2012) indicated that married people were more committed to their organizational activities than unmarried people. Married people have more family responsibilities and need more stability and security in their job; therefore, they are likely to be more committed to their current organization than their unmarried counterparts.

2. **Work Environment**

The working environment is also identified as another factor that affects employee commitment. One of the common working environmental conditions that may affect employee commitment positively is partial ownership of a firm. Ownership of any kind gives employees a sense of importance, and they feel part of the decision-making process. Lo (2013) concludes that this concept of ownership, which includes participation in decision-making on new developments and changes in working practices, creates a sense of belonging. Another factor within the work environment that may affect employee commitment is work practice in relation to recruitment and selection, performance appraisal, promotions, and management style. Lo, in his study, concluded that the low level of employee commitment could be attributed to inappropriate selection and promotion, which lead to the continuance of managerial style and behavior that have a negative effect on employee commitment.

3. Employee Opportunity

The existence of employee opportunities can affect employee commitment (Cronin, 2010). Employees who have a strong conviction that they stand a chance of finding another job may become less committed to the organization as they ponder such desirable alternatives. Where there is a lack of other employment opportunities, there is a tendency for high levels of employee commitment. As a result, employees in an organization are based on continuous commitment, where they are continuously calculating the risks of remaining and leaving.

4. **Organizational Structure**

The structure of an organization plays an important role in employee commitment. Bureaucratic structures tend to have a negative effect on employee commitment. The creation of flexible structures is more likely to contribute to the enhancement of employee commitment levels, both in terms of loyalty and attachment to the organization.

5. Management Style

Avolio (2014) stated that the answer to the question of employee commitment, morale, loyalty, and attachment may consist not only of providing motivators such as styles of management not suited to their context and to contemporary employee aspirations. A management style that encourages employee involvement can help satisfy employees' desire for empowerment and their demand for a commitment to organizational goals. More flexible and participatory management styles can strongly and positively enhance organizational commitment. Therefore, organizations need to ensure that their management strategies are aimed at improving employee commitment rather than compliance.

6. **Positive Relationship**

The organizational environment is built on working relationships. One of which is the supervisory relationship (Howard & Upton, 2014). The supervisory relationship depends on how work-related practices such as performance management are implemented in the organization. When an employee finds the supervisory relationship to be fair in its practices, they tend to be more committed to the organization. Other work relationships, such as teams or groups, that exist in the workplace can affect employee commitment. Employees can demonstrate commitment when they are able to find value in work relationships. When work

relationships reflect mutual respect for individuals, they are able to commit themselves to the organization.

Idealized Influence and Employee Commitment

Hayati et al. (2014) completed a study to determine the consequences of transformational leadership and its additives on work engagement amongst health facility nurses. Results confirmed that there's an effective and big impact of transformational management on work engagement and its aspects. Idealized has an impact on many of the leaders turned into discovery to bring about the formation of a selected perception amongst individuals of a group of employees closer to the leader, and as a result, the leader can without difficulty transmit their inspirational motivation to them. As a result, idealizing has an impact on units excessive requirements, creates an effective vision demanding situations for the personnel, and establishes enthusiasm collectively with optimism for achieving success in work. The study recommends that leaders and bosses need to be helped in growing what exists in management and relate this to the proper features that increase leadership behavior, which is powerful and active.

Mbithi et al. (2014) carried out a study to recognize how universities in Kenya responded to reforms, specializing in their management, which is important in dealing with the transformation and developing educational excellence. It emerged that the transformational management behavior of the pinnacle management of universities in Kenya brought about excessive employee and employee commitment and that the pinnacle management of those universities is capable of shaping their method with an appropriate structure and era to acquire organizational effectiveness. Idealized has an impact that has been related to a frontrunner who has an aura, is moral, and is capable of correctly speaking his or her vision for the organization to subordinates (Ngaithe et al., 2016). These leaders show strong private values that set them apart from relaxation and set up effective photos for their fans (Gomes, 2014).

Articulating an attractive and provoking imaginative and prescient aim to put and position modeling is a powerful management practice associated with idealized leadership that has an impact on the overall performance development past contractual expectations (Wang et al., 2011). Transformational leaders are capable of outlining and articulating an imaginative and prescient vision for the agency and encouraging fans to hold it out. A CEO’s aura is associated with an agency's overall performance via its impact on essential mediators, elevating the management weather in the agency and growing the organizational identification power, which in turn relates undoubtedly to overall performance results and effectiveness (Epitropaki et al., 2017). Self-efficacy is printed by means of Bandura (1997) because of the guarantee or self-assurance humans have in their functionality to set up and execute moves desired to complete an undertaking.

The higher one’s self-efficacy is in connection with a selected activity, the greater their participation and perseverance in achieving it (Cavazotte et al., 2013). Bandura (1986) asserts that four additives in the social context may have an impact on self-efficacy, mainly beyond achievements, remarks of others, verbal persuasion, and emotion. Articulating the importance of the undertaking one has completed, encouraging contribution in name processes, and upsetting self-guarantee that overall performance is going to be excessively rectangular degree management behaviors associated with idealized have an impact on views (Cavazotte et al., 2013).

Cohesion is everything else vital for the effectiveness of a group. Dionne et al. (2004) have a look at that preceding research and have set up that teamwork operations inclusive of war control and brotherly love enhance group overall performance. Idealized relationships have an effect on brotherly love through rapport construction and empathetic language (Cavazotte et al., 2013). Furthermore, leaders can encourage fans to undertake a group-oriented technique in performing their duties with the aid of group construction and setting up desires for teams (Krishna, 2011).

Kyrgidou and Spyropoulou (2013) renowned that overall performance control has a crucial function in improving overall performance in people with the aid of presenting feedback, defining desires, and conducting them. Dirisu et al. (2013) are additionally aware that overall performance contributes to the growth and achievement of the organization, with a focal point on combining the capabilities and information of personnel with organizational desires and objectives. Shadraconis (2013) found that there may be a crucial conflict among personnel's overall performance and management style. It is stated that personnel who agree that they're reputable and dealt with respectfully by their employers are much more likely to be higher performers in their respective jobs because of their superb motivation, which results in their loyalty to their organizations.

In a look carried out with the aid of Sosik, Godshalk, and Yammarino (2004), it became apparent that idealized behavior had a right away superior effect on encouraging personnel to acquire the set desires and objectives. The equal look observed that such leaders decreased the extent of bad stressors that their personnel experienced on the subject of their work and expanded their degree of overall performance in their assigned duties. Idealized work has an effect on encouraging personnel and protégés to increase and learn, allowing them to increase their self-identity, self-belief, and well-being, which will increase employee engagement in their jobs. Idealized have an effect is a critical detail of transformational leadership that has a right away impact on the extent of employee engagement in their work and the organization (Kark & Chen, 2013).

Conclusion

The study concluded that in order to achieve employee commitment, idealized influence should be adopted. This study revealed that there was a positive relationship between idealized influence and employee commitment, implying that enhancement of idealized influence leads to better performance. Superiors design the performance rules, while heads of departments assess the employees. Employees seemed to not believe that supervisors are able to inspire confidence in employees, but most of the administrators think supervisors are able to inspire confidence in employees. Most employees sometimes disagree that intellectual stimulation enhances creativity and autonomy among the leaders, while administrators argue that intellectual stimulation enhances creativity and autonomy among the leaders. The study concludes therefore that if a leader inculcates trust in employees, practices high ethical values, acts as a role model to the employees, and encourages them to take risks, these will positively affect their work and hence their commitment levels. So, a leader who practices idealized influence will encourage employees to perform at their best.

Recommendations

The study recommends that:

1. Leaders should express more enthusiasm to staff about what needs to be accomplished and continuously provide employees with an inspiring vision and mission to increase employee efforts in meeting and achieving set organizational goals and objectives.
2. Supervisors should also talk about interpersonal relations and team cohesion as well as enabling decision-making at all levels of the organization, be sensitive to individual employees' needs, and take into consideration multiple alternatives when making decisions.
3. Organizations should ensure that the leaders instill pride in employees, effectively communicate the vision and mission of the organization, and consider the moral and ethical consequences of the decisions they make for better employee commitment, as shown in the results of the study.
4. Senior managers should promote a broad, inclusive vision, show strong commitment to goals, and create trust and confidence in employees in order to increase staff performance.
5. Managers should also continuously inspire their employees in order to enhance their outcomes and enable them to achieve organizational goals by challenging them to leave their comfort zones.

References

Abu-Tineh, R. O., Ahmad, F., Abbas, T., Latif, S., & Rasheed, A. (2008). Impact of transformational leadership on employee motivation in telecommunication sector. *Journal of Management Policies and Practices, 2*(2), 11-25.

Avolio, B. J. (2014). Transformational leadership and organizational commitment: mediating role of psychological empowerment and moderating role of structural distance. *Journal of Organizational Behaviour,* 25, 951-968.

Avolio, B. & Yammarino, F. (2012). *Transformational and charismatic leadership: The road ahead.* JAI Elsevier.

Awamleh, R. & Gardner, W. (1999). Perceptions of leader charisma and effectiveness: The effects of vision content, delivery, and employee commitment. *The Leadership Quarterly, 10*(3), 345-373.

Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundation of thought and action: a social cognitive theory.* Prentice Hall.

Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control.* W.H. Freeman.

Banjeri, P. & Krishnan, V. (2000). Ethical preferences of transformational leaders: An empirical investigation. *Leadership and Organizational Development Journal, 21*(8), 405 - 413.

Barnes, E. (2016). *Transformational corporate leadership.* Intergrity Publishing.

Bass, B. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations.* Free Press.

Bass, B., & Avolio, B. (1994). Transformational leadership and organizational culture. *International Journal of Public Administration, 17*(3), 541-554.

Bass, B., & Riggio, R. (2006). *Transformational leadership.* Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Inc.

Beck, R. & Wilson, W. O. (2000). Collective organizational engagement: Linking motivational antecendents, strategic implementation and firm performance. *Academy of Management Journal, 58*(1), 111 - 135.

Cavazotte, F., Moreno, V., & Bernardo, J. (2013). Transformational leaders and work performance: The mediating roles of identification and self-efficacy. *Journal of Management and Economics,* 56-77.

Chan, L. (2011), Promoting organizational learning and self renewal in Taiwanese companies. Taipei, TW. *The Role of Human Resource Management,* 4(2), 223-241.

Clawson, P (2006). The measurement of organizational commitment. *Journal of Vocational Behaviour.* 14, 224-247.

Cronin, J. & Taylor, C. U. (2012). Perceived organizational support and organizational commitment: the moderating effect of locus of control and work autonomy. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22, 479-495.

Dirisu, J., Iyiona, O. & Ibidunni, O. (2013). Product differentiation: A tool of competitive advantage optimal organizational performance (A Study of Uniliver Nigeria PLC). *European Scientific Journal, 9*(34), 258-281.

Epitropaki, O., Kark, R., Mainemelis, C., & Lord, R. (2017). Leadership and followership identity processes: A multilevel review. *The Leadership Quarterly, 28*(1), 104-129.

Gomes, A. (2014). *Transformational leadership: Theory, research and application to sports.* Nova Science Publishers.

Hayati, D., Charkhabi, M., & Naami, A. (2014). The relationship between transformational leadership and work engagement in governmental hospitals nurses: A survey study. *SpringerPlus, 3*(25).

Jafr, G. D. (2011). *The role of self-efficacy in employee commitment.* University of South Africa Press.

Jandaghi, G., Matin, H., & Farjami, A. (2009). Comparing transformational leadership in successful and unsuccessful companies. *The Journal of International Social Research, 2*(6), 357-372.

Krishna, Y. (2011). Effects of transformational leadership on team performance. *International Journal of Business Management and Economic Research, 2*(1), 152-157.

Kyrgidou, L. P. & Spyropoulou, S. (2013). Driver and performance outcomes of innovativeness: An empirical study. *British Journal of Management, 24*, 281-298.

Lo, M. (2013), Leadership styles and organizational commitment: A test on Malaysia manufacturing industry. *African Journal of Marketing Management,1*(6), 133.

Mbithi, A., Obonyo, P. & Munyoki, J. (2014). Transformational leadership and performance of Universities in Kenya. *International Journal of Science and Education,* 134-158.

Meyer, J. P. & Allen, N. J. (2013). The measurement and antecedents of affective, continuance and normative commitment to the organization. *Journal of Occupational Psychology*, *6*(3), 1 - 18.

Meyer, P. J. & Elyse, S. U. (2010). A three-component conceptualization of organizational commitment. *Human Resources Management Review,1*, 61-89.

Nadeem, S. A., Aysha, X. V. & Hussain, A. H. (2011). Losing your best talent: Employee retention the dilemma of textile industry. Harper and Row.

Ngaithe, L., K'Aol, G., Lewa, P., & Ndwiga, M. (2016). Effect of idealized influence and inspirational motivation on staff performance in state owned enterprises in Kenya. *European Journal of Business and Management, 8*(30), 6-13.

Shadraconis, S. (2013). Organizational leadership in times of uncertainty: Is transformational leadership the answer. *A Journal of Transdisciplinary Writing and Research from Claremont Graduate University, 2*(1), 28-29.

Wang, H., Tsui, A., & Xin, K. (2011). CEO leadership behaviours, organizational performance, and employees' attitudes. *The Leadership Quarterly, 22,* 92-105.