IJAAR PUBLISHING®

Journal of Global Interdependence and Economic Sustainability | ISSN: 2955-0815
Vol. 4, Issue 2 (2025) | www.ijaar.org/jgies

# International Financial Institutions and Sustainable Economic Development in West Africa: A Comparative Study of the Role of IMF and World Bank in Nigeria

**Mark, Kingsley Chinonso, PhD.**
Department of Political Science
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka

**Eze, Chinwe Mariaceline, PhD**
Department of Political Science
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka

**Obi Chinenye Blessing**
Department of Political Science
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka

**Igwe Favour Chinonye**
Department of Political Science
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka

**Abstract**
*This study examined international financial institutions and sustainable economic development in West Africa, focusing on a comparative analysis of the roles of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank in Nigeria. Anchored on Modernization Theory, the research investigates four core issues: the strides made by the IMF and World Bank toward sustainable economic development in Africa; their practical efforts in achieving sustainable development in Nigeria; the effectiveness of their interventions; and the major constraints impeding their success. The study adopts a qualitative and analytical approach, drawing secondary data from academic literature, and policy documents to critically assess the development frameworks, project implementations, and institutional engagements by these Bretton Woods institutions in the Nigerian context. Thematic analysis was used to examine data, focusing on patterns and differences in IMF and World Bank interventions in Nigeria. Findings indicate that while the IMF and World Bank have contributed significantly through financial aid, policy support, and institutional reforms, their impact on long-term sustainable development remains mixed due to factors such as policy conditionalities, lack of contextual adaptability, governance challenges, and infrastructural deficits. The study concludes that the realization of sustainable economic development in Nigeria cannot be attained solely through external prescriptions but must be rooted in domestic ownership, inclusive governance, and tailored development strategies. Key recommendations include the need for more participatory policy formulation processes, enhanced institutional capacity in Nigeria, context-sensitive project design by international institutions, and a re-evaluation of existing aid conditionalities to better align with national priorities. The study contributes to the theoretical discourse on development and offers practical insights for policymakers, development practitioners, and international partners engaged in Africa's economic transformation.*

**Keywords:** International Financial Institutions, Development, Sustainable Economic Development, IMF, World Bank.



Mark, K. C., Eze, C. M., Obi, C. B., & Igwe, F. C. (2025). International Financial Institutions and Sustainable Economic Development in West Africa: A Comparative Study of the Role of IMF and World Bank in Nigeria. *Journal of Global Interdependence and Economic Sustainability. 4*(2), 71-91. DOI: https://doi.org/10.46654/2q1b1z10

## Introduction

International financial institutions play significant role in shaping economic development in various regions, particularly in West Africa, where countries struggle with economic instability, governance challenges, and structural deficiencies. Among these institutions, the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank have been instrumental in influencing the trajectory of economic policies and development strategies in Nigeria and other West African nations. Their interventions, primarily through financial assistance, policy recommendations, and economic restructuring programs, have sparked debates on their effectiveness in promoting sustainable economic development. The role of these institutions in Nigeria's economic development necessitates a comparative study to assess their impact, challenges, and prospects in ensuring long-term economic stability and growth.

The IMF and the World Bank emerged as global financial institutions after World War II, designed to provide monetary stability and development financing to member states. The IMF, established in 1944, primarily focuses on monetary cooperation, exchange rate stability, and providing short-term financial assistance to countries facing balance of payments crises (Stiglitz, 2017). On the other hand, the World Bank, comprising the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD) and the International Development Association (IDA), provides long-term development loans and grants to promote infrastructure, poverty reduction, and economic growth (Todaro & Smith, 2020). Both institutions have significantly influenced Nigeria's economic landscape through various programs, including structural adjustment programs (SAPs), financial aid, and policy interventions.

Nigeria, the largest economy in West Africa, has experienced fluctuating economic growth due to its dependence on oil exports, governance issues, and structural inefficiencies. Since the 1980s, the IMF and the World Bank have been actively involved in Nigeria's economic reforms, particularly during economic crises characterized by foreign exchange shortages, declining revenues, and inflation (Ekpo, 2018). One of the most controversial interventions was the implementation of the Structural Adjustment Program (SAP) in 1986 under the guidance of the IMF and the World Bank. SAP aimed to restructure the Nigerian economy by reducing government expenditure, promoting privatization, liberalizing trade policies, and implementing currency devaluation to enhance competitiveness (Ogun, 2019). While SAP led to some improvements in economic liberalization and reduced government control over key sectors, it also resulted in increased poverty, unemployment, and social discontent due to the removal of subsidies and austerity measures.

The IMF's role in Nigeria has primarily revolved around providing financial assistance and policy guidance, particularly during periods of economic downturns. Nigeria has sought IMF interventions during crises such as the global oil price collapse, which significantly affected government revenues and foreign reserves. The IMF has recommended policy measures such as fiscal consolidation, subsidy removal, exchange rate adjustments, and structural reforms to enhance macroeconomic stability (Ajakaiye & Fakiyesi, 2021). While these measures have contributed to short-term economic stabilization, they have also been criticized for imposing harsh conditions that disproportionately affect the poor and limit the country's economic sovereignty. Critics argue that IMF prescriptions often prioritize debt repayment and financial discipline over social investments and inclusive growth, thereby exacerbating income inequality and social unrest (Rodrik, 2018).

The World Bank, in contrast, has focused on long-term development initiatives in Nigeria, particularly in infrastructure development, education, healthcare, and poverty reduction. The

World Bank has funded numerous projects aimed at enhancing human capital development, improving agricultural productivity, and strengthening governance institutions. For example, the World Bank has played a key role in financing projects such as the National Social Safety Nets Program, which aims to provide cash transfers to vulnerable households to alleviate poverty (World Bank, 2022). Moreover, the World Bank has supported Nigeria's power sector reforms, road infrastructure development, and public sector governance improvements. However, despite these efforts, the effectiveness of World Bank interventions has been questioned due to issues such as project inefficiencies, corruption, and inadequate local ownership of development programs (Okonjo-Iweala, 2019).

A comparative analysis of the roles of the IMF and the World Bank in Nigeria highlights key differences in their approaches to economic development. The IMF's interventions have been more focused on macroeconomic stabilization, financial discipline, and policy reforms, often requiring stringent conditionalities that have had social and economic consequences. In contrast, the World Bank's interventions have been more project-based, targeting specific sectors such as education, health, and infrastructure development. While both institutions have contributed to Nigeria's economic development in different ways, challenges such as debt sustainability, policy inconsistencies, and governance issues have limited the long-term impact of their interventions (Collier, 2020).

Sustainable economic development in Nigeria requires a balanced approach that integrates financial stability with inclusive growth, social protection, and institutional reforms. While the IMF and the World Bank have provided essential financial and policy support, there is a need for greater emphasis on domestic policy ownership, accountability, and sustainable development strategies that prioritize long-term economic resilience. Critics argue that Nigeria should focus on developing indigenous economic policies that align with its developmental priorities rather than relying heavily on external institutions that may impose conditions not suited to the local context (Edozien, 2021). Also, strengthening governance, improving transparency in the management of external loans, and investing in critical sectors such as education, healthcare, and infrastructure will be crucial in achieving sustainable economic growth.

The broader implications of IMF and World Bank interventions in Nigeria extend to other West African countries, where similar economic challenges persist. Countries such as Ghana, Côte d'Ivoire, and Senegal have also engaged with these institutions to address fiscal deficits, economic diversification, and poverty alleviation (Aryeetey & Baah-Boateng, 2022). While some countries have successfully leveraged IMF and World Bank support to achieve economic stability and growth, others have struggled with debt burdens, policy failures, and socio-economic disruptions. The experiences of these countries provide valuable insights into the effectiveness of international financial institutions in promoting sustainable economic development in West Africa.

Given the complex and intricate nature of economic development, it is essential to critically examine the role of international institutions in shaping Nigeria's economic trajectory. While the IMF and the World Bank have contributed to economic reforms, financial stability, and infrastructure development, their interventions have also been met with criticism regarding their long-term impact on poverty reduction, economic sovereignty, and sustainable growth. A comparative study of these institutions' roles in Nigeria will offer valuable lessons on the effectiveness of external financial assistance, policy interventions, and development

strategies in achieving sustainable economic development in West Africa and in Nigeria particularly. This informs the need for the study.

**Statement of the Problem**

International financial institutions such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank play a significant role in fostering sustainable economic development in West Africa by providing financial assistance, policy guidance, and development programs. Ideally, their interventions should support economic stability, poverty reduction, infrastructural development, and long-term economic growth. Countries that effectively utilize IMF and World Bank support should experience improved macroeconomic stability, reduced fiscal deficits, increased foreign direct investment, and enhanced public sector efficiency. The World Bank, through its focus on long-term development financing, should contribute to infrastructure projects, human capital development, and poverty alleviation, while the IMF, through its policy-based lending, should assist in stabilizing economies during financial crises and guiding sound monetary policies. In a well-functioning system, the policies and financial assistance of these institutions should complement national economic strategies, ensuring that countries like Nigeria can achieve sustainable economic development without falling into debt dependency or economic stagnation.

However, the reality of IMF and World Bank interventions in Nigeria presents a more complex and challenging picture. Nigeria has engaged with these institutions for decades, particularly during economic downturns, yet the country continues to struggle with high levels of poverty, unemployment, inflation, and infrastructural deficits. The Structural Adjustment Program (SAP) introduced in 1986 under IMF and World Bank guidance was expected to revitalize the economy by promoting market liberalization, reducing government intervention, and enhancing economic efficiency. However, instead of fostering sustained economic growth, SAP resulted in increased social hardship, rising inequality, and weakened local industries. Similarly, Nigeria's reliance on IMF bailout programs and World Bank development loans has raised concerns about debt sustainability, policy conditionalities, and the long-term impact of externally driven economic policies. While some scholars argue that these institutions have contributed positively to economic stabilization, others suggest that their interventions have exacerbated economic vulnerabilities rather than fostering self-sustaining development. This raises a fundamental question about the effectiveness of these institutions in promoting sustainable economic development in Nigeria compared to their impact in other West African countries.

The implications of Nigeria's continued engagement with the IMF and the World Bank without achieving significant economic transformation necessitate further investigation into the effectiveness of these institutions in fostering sustainable development. If the interventions of these global financial institutions have not significantly improved economic conditions in Nigeria, it becomes crucial to examine the specific factors that hinder their effectiveness. It is also essential to compare Nigeria's experience with that of other West African nations to determine whether similar patterns exist or if Nigeria's economic challenges stem from unique national factors. Understanding the comparative role of these institutions in West Africa will provide valuable insights into their strengths, weaknesses, and overall impact on economic development. This study, therefore, seeks to explore the effectiveness of the IMF and the World Bank in promoting sustainable economic development in Nigeria, using a comparative approach to evaluate their roles, challenges, and prospects within the broader West African context.

## Conceptual Clarifications

### International Financial Institutions

According to Keohane (2019), international financial institutions are "persistent and connected sets of rules (formal and informal) that prescribe behavioral roles, constrain activity, and shape expectations." This definition captures the idea that international institutions are not limited to organizations but include rules and norms that guide the conduct of actors in the international system. The author emphasized the structural and normative dimensions, suggesting that institutions serve to reduce uncertainty in international relations by facilitating cooperation, information sharing, and dispute resolution.

Gilpin (2021) conceptualized international financial institutions as "mechanisms through which international economic policies and practices are coordinated to promote stability, growth, and equitable development." His definition recognized the instrumental role that financial institutions such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and World Bank play in shaping macroeconomic policies, providing technical assistance, and funding development projects in developing countries. This highlights the institutional role in the facilitation of development agendas, especially in regions like West Africa.

In addition, Barnett and Finnemore (2018) introduced the idea that international financial institutions possess bureaucratic autonomy and power, defining them as "actors with distinctive identities and purposes that emerge from their organizational structures and professional cultures." They argue that institutions are not merely passive tools of states but have agency that can influence outcomes independently. This bureaucratic approach reveals how institutions such as the IMF and World Bank may pursue policies based on internal logics and professional norms, sometimes in tension with the preferences of member states.

### Sustainable Economic Development

The concept of "Sustainable Economic Development" has become a critical framework in development studies, environmental economics, and international policy discussions, particularly in the context of global efforts to balance economic growth with environmental preservation and social inclusion. Though widely used, the term has been interpreted in varying ways by scholars, policy-makers, and development practitioners. At its core, sustainable economic development encompasses the pursuit of economic progress that is ecologically viable, socially inclusive, and economically beneficial over the long term.

The United Nations Development Programme (UNDP, 1994) defined sustainable economic development as the creation of an environment in which people can enjoy long, healthy, and creative lives. This definition integrates the Human Development Index (HDI) into the understanding of sustainability and focuses on enhancing people's capabilities and opportunities. It emphasizes the human-centered nature of sustainability, stressing that economic growth should translate into improved well-being and reduced inequalities.

Goodland and Daly (2016) defined sustainable economic development as "the improvement of the quality of human life within the carrying capacity of supporting ecosystems." This definition links economic development explicitly with environmental thresholds, reinforcing the idea that human welfare depends on ecological sustainability. They differentiate between economic growth (quantitative increase) and economic development (qualitative improvement), advocating for the latter within ecological limits.

From a policy perspective, the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD, 2001) defined sustainable economic development as "a pattern of resource use that aims to meet human needs while preserving the environment so that these needs can be met not only in the present, but also for future generations." The OECD framework integrates economic performance indicators with environmental assessments, promoting policy coherence across sectors.

Similarly, the World Bank (2003) defined sustainable economic development as "economic growth that is inclusive and environmentally sound to reduce poverty and build shared prosperity." This definition emphasizes both distributive justice and ecological integrity, highlighting that economic benefit must reach the marginalized and must not come at the cost of environmental damage.

In the African context, the United Nations Economic Commission for Africa (UNECA, 2016) defined sustainable economic development as "a long-term economic process that is resilient, inclusive, and capable of responding to environmental constraints and social disparities." This regional interpretation incorporates the unique developmental challenges of Africa, including vulnerability to climate change, resource dependency, and socio-economic inequality.

In essence, the concept of sustainable economic development is multi-dimensional and evolving. However, there is a shared understanding that it involves economic progress that does not jeopardize environmental and social systems.

**Review of Related Literature**

Existing literature on international financial institutions highlights their critical role in providing financial assistance, technical expertise, and policy recommendations to developing countries. The IMF has been instrumental in stabilizing economies through monetary policies, exchange rate adjustments, and fiscal discipline. However, its Structural Adjustment Programs (SAPs) in Nigeria during the 1980s and 1990s led to severe economic contractions, increased poverty, and social unrest. On the other hand, the World Bank has focused on long-term development through projects in education, infrastructure, and health. However, studies suggest that many of its projects in Nigeria have been plagued by inefficiencies, corruption, and misallocation of resources. This study will build on these perspectives to provide a comparative analysis of both institutions' roles in Nigeria's sustainable economic development.

**IMF and World Bank Sustainable Economic Development Strides in Africa**

The International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank have played significant roles in Africa's pursuit of sustainable economic development since the post-independence era, especially from the late 20th century to the present. Their involvement has primarily focused on providing financial assistance, policy advice, and capacity-building support to African nations with the goal of fostering macroeconomic stability, reducing poverty, and promoting long-term economic growth. Over the years, the nature, depth, and outcomes of their interventions have attracted considerable academic interest, particularly regarding their effectiveness in facilitating sustainable development across the continent.

The World Bank's sustainable economic development agenda in Africa has largely been framed around poverty alleviation, human capital development, infrastructure investment, and institutional reforms. According to Mosley, Harrigan, and Toye (1995), the Bank's early

strategies in Africa were aligned with the Washington Consensus, emphasizing liberalization, privatization, and deregulation. While these structural adjustment programs (SAPs) initially aimed to address fiscal imbalances and stimulate growth, their implementation was met with mixed outcomes. Critics such as Stiglitz (2002) argue that the SAPs often undermined public sector capacity and exacerbated social inequalities due to the withdrawal of state subsidies, downsizing of the public workforce, and the commercialization of basic services.

However, the World Bank revised its approach in the late 1990s and early 2000s, incorporating poverty reduction and sustainability into its development framework. Through the Poverty Reduction Strategy Papers (PRSPs), African countries began to receive aid based on nationally owned development plans with broad stakeholder participation. This marked a shift from purely economic stabilization to a more comprehensive development paradigm. As noted by Easterly (2016), while PRSPs introduced some participatory elements, the World Bank continued to wield significant influence in shaping the content of national policies, raising concerns about ownership and alignment with local contexts.

In the area of infrastructure, the World Bank has been instrumental in financing major projects in transportation, energy, and water supply. These investments are critical for economic diversification, market access, and improved service delivery. A study by Calderón and Servén (2010) highlighted a strong correlation between infrastructure development and economic growth in sub-Saharan Africa, suggesting that the Bank's investments have had positive growth implications. Additionally, the World Bank has promoted sustainable agriculture, education, and healthcare systems, which are essential pillars of long-term economic sustainability.

Environmental sustainability has also gained prominence in the World Bank's interventions, particularly through its support for renewable energy and climate resilience projects. Through initiatives like the Climate Investment Funds (CIF) and the International Development Association (IDA), the Bank has supported African countries in integrating environmental considerations into development planning. As noted by Okonjo-Iweala and Osafo-Kwaako (2007), however, the extent to which environmental concerns are mainstreamed into broader economic development policies remains uneven across countries, often limited by institutional capacity and political will.

On the other hand, the IMF's engagement with Africa has primarily centered on macroeconomic stabilization, fiscal discipline, and monetary policy reforms. The IMF provides balance-of-payments support to countries facing external shocks or fiscal crises, often attaching conditions aimed at improving governance, public financial management, and inflation control. According to IMF (2019), these interventions are designed to restore macroeconomic stability, which is considered a prerequisite for sustainable development. However, scholars such as Bond (2016) have criticized the IMF for promoting austerity measures that may hinder social spending and weaken developmental outcomes in the short term.

The IMF's evolving role in Africa became particularly evident during the COVID-19 pandemic, when it extended significant emergency financing to African countries to cushion the impact of the global economic shock. Programs such as the Rapid Credit Facility (RCF) and the Catastrophe Containment and Relief Trust (CCRT) provided much-needed liquidity, enabling countries to respond to health emergencies and maintain critical public services. This responsiveness marked a departure from the IMF's traditionally rigid conditionality

framework, suggesting a more flexible and adaptive engagement with African economies in times of crisis.

Moreover, the IMF has increasingly acknowledged the interlinkages between macroeconomic stability and structural development issues. Recent policy advice has emphasized the importance of inclusive growth, gender equity, and climate resilience. For instance, IMF (2021) reports underscore the significance of improving domestic revenue mobilization, strengthening social safety nets, and addressing climate vulnerabilities as part of national development strategies. This broader agenda reflects an attempt by the IMF to align its programs with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), although questions remain about the effectiveness of these efforts in practice.

Despite these strides, both the IMF and World Bank face persistent criticisms regarding their impact on Africa's sustainable economic development. One major concern is the conditionality attached to their financial assistance, which is often viewed as externally imposed and sometimes misaligned with local realities. According to Mkandawire and Soludo (1999), these conditions may limit policy space for African governments and reduce the scope for innovative, homegrown solutions. Another criticism relates to the accountability and transparency of these institutions, particularly regarding the processes through which projects are designed and implemented. Although stakeholder consultations have become more common, the influence of donor priorities remains significant.

Nevertheless, there are success stories attributed to IMF and World Bank engagements in Africa. Countries such as Rwanda, Ghana, and Ethiopia have benefited from concessional financing, debt relief under the Heavily Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC) initiative, and technical assistance that have supported infrastructure development, improved governance, and expanded social services. In particular, the World Bank's support for education and health in Rwanda has contributed to impressive improvements in literacy rates and maternal health outcomes (World Bank, 2018).

Overall, the IMF and World Bank have played a complex yet significant role in shaping the trajectory of sustainable economic development in Africa. While their policies and interventions have contributed to macroeconomic stability, infrastructure development, and social investment, they have also been associated with policy constraints, social costs, and contested developmental outcomes. The shift toward more inclusive and sustainable frameworks represents a positive evolution, but the long-term success of their contributions depends on genuine partnerships, local ownership, and the alignment of international support with Africa's development priorities. As African nations continue to navigate the challenges of economic transformation, climate change, and global inequality, the role of international financial institutions must evolve further to support resilient and equitable development pathways.

**IMF and World Bank Practical Efforts in Achieving Sustainable Economic Development in Africa and Nigeria**

The practical efforts of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank in achieving sustainable economic development in Africa and Nigeria reflect a long history of financial interventions, policy reforms, and institutional capacity-building programs aimed at addressing structural weaknesses, promoting inclusive growth, and reducing poverty. These efforts, while diverse in scope and scale, are rooted in the shared objective of fostering macroeconomic stability and sustainable progress in developing economies. In the African

context, and more specifically in Nigeria, both institutions have implemented a variety of programs, some of which have yielded positive developmental outcomes, while others have drawn criticisms for their adverse social impacts or failure to achieve long-term sustainability.

One of the core practical approaches of the IMF in Africa has been its financial stabilization programs, particularly in response to fiscal and balance-of-payments crises. These programs, often supported through facilities such as the Extended Credit Facility (ECF), Rapid Credit Facility (RCF), and Stand-By Arrangements (SBA), are accompanied by policy prescriptions designed to restore macroeconomic balance. In Nigeria, for example, the IMF has intervened during episodes of oil price shocks, inflation surges, and currency instability, often recommending reforms in fiscal management, subsidy reduction, and exchange rate liberalization (IMF, 2021). These recommendations aim to enhance economic resilience and attract foreign investment, which are essential for sustainable development. However, the implementation of such reforms, especially subsidy removal and public sector downsizing, has sometimes sparked socio-economic discontent due to their short-term costs on the population (Eze, 2020).

The IMF has also made efforts to integrate development-oriented reforms into its stabilization programs. In recent years, there has been a growing recognition of the need to prioritize social spending even within austerity frameworks. The IMF's 2021 Regional Economic Outlook emphasized the importance of strengthening social protection systems, increasing healthcare investment, and improving tax collection to create fiscal space for development. In Nigeria, the IMF has supported initiatives aimed at enhancing public financial management, improving debt transparency, and promoting gender-responsive budgeting (IMF, 2021). These measures are designed to ensure that fiscal policies contribute not only to economic stability but also to inclusive growth and poverty reduction.

On the other hand, the World Bank's practical contributions to sustainable economic development in Africa and Nigeria are more extensive and multidimensional. The Bank has been a leading financier of development projects across sectors such as infrastructure, education, health, agriculture, and governance. In Nigeria, the World Bank has supported numerous development projects, including the Nigeria Electrification Project (NEP), which aims to expand access to electricity in rural and underserved areas through off-grid solutions (World Bank, 2020). Access to reliable energy is a critical enabler of economic productivity, small business growth, and quality of life, thereby contributing directly to sustainable development goals.

The World Bank has also played a significant role in human capital development, which is a fundamental pillar of sustainable economic development. In Nigeria, the Bank has financed programs like the Better Education Service Delivery for All (BESDA) and the Nigeria State Health Investment Project (NSHIP), which seek to improve learning outcomes and strengthen health service delivery at the subnational level (World Bank, 2019). These initiatives not only aim to improve immediate service delivery but also have long-term implications for workforce quality, productivity, and social cohesion. According to Hanushek and Woessmann (2012), human capital accumulation through education and health investments is a key driver of sustained economic growth.

Another practical effort by the World Bank in Nigeria involves governance and institutional reforms. Recognizing that weak institutions are a major constraint on development, the Bank

has implemented programs aimed at enhancing transparency, accountability, and service delivery efficiency in public administration. For instance, the State Fiscal Transparency, Accountability and Sustainability (SFTAS) program incentivizes Nigerian states to adopt reforms in budget credibility, procurement practices, and citizen engagement (World Bank, 2021). These governance improvements are essential for creating an enabling environment for investment and innovation, which are crucial for sustainable development.

The World Bank and IMF have also collaborated with other multilateral partners to address cross-cutting challenges such as climate change, gender inequality, and youth unemployment. In Nigeria, the World Bank has supported climate-smart agriculture projects that promote resilience to environmental shocks while enhancing food security and rural incomes (World Bank, 2020). Similarly, both institutions have integrated gender considerations into their development planning, with efforts to increase women's access to credit, education, and decision-making roles. According to the World Bank (2022), empowering women economically has a multiplicative effect on household welfare, community development, and national productivity.

Moreover, in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the IMF and World Bank took exceptional steps to support African countries, including Nigeria, through emergency financing and debt relief. The IMF provided Nigeria with $3.4 billion under the Rapid Financing Instrument in 2020 to address the pandemic's economic fallout (IMF, 2020). This support helped stabilize Nigeria's foreign reserves, cushion fiscal pressures, and maintain critical public services. Concurrently, the World Bank approved $750 million for Nigeria's COVID-19 Action Recovery and Economic Stimulus (N-CARES) program, which aimed to support vulnerable households and microenterprises affected by the pandemic (World Bank, 2021). These timely interventions demonstrated the capacity of international institutions to respond to global crises and their practical relevance in sustaining development gains.

Despite these achievements, the practical efforts of the IMF and World Bank in Nigeria and Africa are not without limitations. Critics argue that the conditionalities attached to IMF loans can constrain national policy autonomy and prioritize fiscal consolidation over social development (Bond, 2016). Similarly, some World Bank projects have been criticized for inadequate community consultation, environmental risks, and challenges in implementation due to bureaucratic bottlenecks or political interference (Adeniran, 2017). Moreover, the dependency on external funding can undermine the development of domestic revenue mobilization strategies and create vulnerabilities to global financial fluctuations.

Nonetheless, the practical efforts of the IMF and World Bank have significantly influenced the developmental trajectory of Africa and Nigeria. Their roles in financing critical infrastructure, supporting social services, advising on macroeconomic policies, and building institutional capacity have laid the foundation for more resilient and inclusive economies. The ongoing challenge is to ensure that their interventions are better aligned with local priorities, socially inclusive, environmentally sustainable, and genuinely empowering to national actors. As Africa and Nigeria navigate a complex global environment characterized by climate change, technological disruption, and geopolitical uncertainty, the practical engagement of these institutions must continue to evolve in support of sustainable economic development.

**Theoretical Framework**

This study is anchored on the Modernization Theory. Modernization Theory emerged prominently in the aftermath of World War II, particularly during the 1950s and 1960s, as scholars and Western policymakers sought to understand and influence the development paths of newly independent nations, especially in Africa, Asia, and Latin America. The theory was principally championed by thinkers such as Walt W. Rostow, whose seminar work in 1960 titled "*The Stages of Economic Growth: A Non-Communist Manifesto"* outlined a linear model of economic development through five progressive stages: traditional society, preconditions for take-off, take-off, drive to maturity, and age of high mass consumption. Other key contributors include Talcott Parsons (1951), who focused on social systems and the institutional frameworks necessary for modernization, and Daniel Lerner (1958), who emphasized the role of media and cultural change in facilitating modernization. These theorists, drawing from the industrialization experiences of Western Europe and North America, argued that underdeveloped countries were at earlier stages of a common evolutionary process and could attain development by adopting Western economic systems, technologies, institutions, and cultural values. Modernization Theory was thus not only a framework for understanding development but also a prescriptive agenda for transforming traditional societies into modern capitalist democracies.

The core tenets of Modernization Theory are grounded in the belief that development is a unilinear, cumulative, and inevitable process driven by internal transformations in society. It assumes that all nations follow the same historical path from traditional to modern states, with differences in development levels explained by the pace of internal change. Modern societies, according to this theory, are characterized by industrialization, urbanization, secularization, increased literacy, rational bureaucracy, and a commitment to scientific progress and meritocratic governance. The theory posits that traditional societies hinder progress due to their reliance on subsistence economies, communalism, superstition, and ascriptive status systems. Therefore, for development to occur, traditional values must be replaced with modern, individualistic, and achievement-oriented values. The role of institutions, particularly international development agencies like the IMF and World Bank is seen as critical in catalyzing this transformation by providing financial aid, technical expertise, policy guidance, and institutional reforms to accelerate the transition. These institutions, by influencing fiscal discipline, economic liberalization, and infrastructural investment, are assumed to be agents of modernity capable of lifting societies out of poverty and underdevelopment.

**Relevance and Application of the Theory to the Study**

The relevance of Modernization Theory to the present study lies in its capacity to frame the roles of the IMF and World Bank as external facilitators of Nigeria's sustainable economic development through institutional and policy reforms. The theory provides a lens to understand how these international institutions, through structural adjustment programs, poverty reduction strategies, and sectorial development loans, have attempted to modernize Nigeria's economic system by promoting privatization, deregulation, and macroeconomic stability. Despite criticisms of Modernization Theory such as its ethnocentrism, neglect of external structural constraints, and failure to account for historical exploitation, it remains useful in evaluating how development institutions envision progress and design interventions. Applied to this study, the theory helps assess whether Nigeria's movement towards sustainable economic development aligns with the modernization paradigm advocated by the IMF and World Bank, and whether such externally inspired reforms have produced measurable benefits or have reinforced dependence and inequality. Thus, while

acknowledging its limitations, Modernization Theory serves as a foundational framework for interrogating the logic, assumptions, and outcomes of international financial institution engagements with Nigeria.

**Effectiveness of IMF and World Bank Interventions in Actualizing Sustainable Economic Development in Nigeria.**

The effectiveness of International Monetary Fund (IMF) and World Bank interventions in actualizing sustainable economic development in Nigeria has been a subject of considerable scholarly attention, as these institutions have been central players in Nigeria's macroeconomic reform programs and development strategies since the post-independence era. Both institutions have provided financial support, technical assistance, and policy advice aimed at fostering economic growth, reducing poverty, enhancing infrastructure, and promoting macroeconomic stability. However, the outcomes of these interventions have been a mixture of successes, criticisms, and unresolved developmental challenges, thus raising questions about their overall effectiveness in the Nigerian context.

The IMF's primary mode of engagement with Nigeria has been through structural adjustment programs (SAPs), standby arrangements, and policy support instruments, particularly during times of economic distress. For instance, Nigeria adopted the SAP in 1986 under the auspices of the IMF and World Bank, with the primary objectives of correcting fiscal imbalances, liberalizing the economy, and promoting private sector-led growth (Ihonvbere, 1993). These programs introduced key policy reforms, including currency devaluation, trade liberalization, and deregulation of the public sector. While these measures aimed to enhance competitiveness and attract foreign investment, their impact on sustainable development was limited by their emphasis on short-term macroeconomic stabilization rather than long-term social investments (Ajakaiye & Akpan, 2019). The resulting contraction in public expenditure, particularly in health and education, adversely affected human capital development, thus hindering the sustainability of economic gains.

Furthermore, IMF interventions during economic crises, such as the 2009 global financial crisis and the COVID-19 pandemic, provided essential fiscal relief to Nigeria. In 2020, the IMF approved a Rapid Financing Instrument of US$3.4 billion to help Nigeria address the economic fallout of the pandemic (IMF, 2020). This assistance was vital in helping the government finance budget deficits, support social spending, and stabilize the naira. However, the effectiveness of such emergency support remains constrained by weak public financial management systems and persistent issues of corruption, which limit the impact of IMF funds on the intended sectors and beneficiaries (Eze, 2020).

The World Bank, on its part, has been more involved in long-term developmental projects focusing on infrastructure, education, healthcare, social protection, and institutional reforms. One of its notable contributions to sustainable economic development in Nigeria includes the State Fiscal Transparency, Accountability and Sustainability (SFTAS) Program for Results, launched in 2018. This initiative aimed to enhance fiscal transparency and accountability in the management of state resources, with the goal of strengthening governance and improving service delivery (World Bank, 2021). Evaluations indicate that the program contributed to improvements in budget transparency and citizen engagement across participating states, reflecting a positive step towards sustainable governance practices.

In the education sector, the World Bank's Better Education Service Delivery for All (BESDA) project, initiated in 2018, sought to increase equitable access to education and reduce the number of out-of-school children, particularly in northern Nigeria (World Bank, 2019). This

intervention targeted foundational literacy and numeracy, teacher training, and performance-based funding, which are critical to building human capital and fostering long-term economic development. Although implementation challenges persist, such as infrastructural deficits and insecurity in the target regions, BESDA represents a strategic approach to addressing the root causes of poverty and inequality in Nigeria.

The World Bank has also promoted sustainable development through its support for climate-smart agriculture and electrification projects. The Nigeria Electrification Project (NEP), for instance, aims to expand access to affordable, reliable, and sustainable energy for rural communities and small businesses through off-grid solutions and mini-grids (World Bank, 2020). This project aligns with the Sustainable Development Goal 7 (affordable and clean energy) and supports green growth by reducing reliance on fossil fuels. Similarly, the promotion of climate-resilient agricultural practices has enhanced food security and improved the livelihoods of smallholder farmers, especially in regions prone to environmental degradation (World Bank, 2020). These interventions indicate that the World Bank's development strategy in Nigeria increasingly emphasizes environmental sustainability and inclusive economic growth.

Despite these initiatives, critics argue that the World Bank and IMF have not sufficiently addressed the structural causes of underdevelopment in Nigeria. Bond (2016) contends that their policies often reflect neoliberal ideologies that prioritize market liberalization and fiscal austerity over social equity and indigenous development models. Moreover, conditionalities attached to financial support, such as subsidy removal and public sector downsizing, have frequently resulted in social unrest and deepened poverty levels (Obadan, 2016). The heavy reliance on debt financing also raises concerns about debt sustainability and the long-term viability of externally driven development agendas.

Nevertheless, there is evidence that these institutions have learned from past criticisms and are increasingly incorporating inclusive and participatory approaches in their programs. Recent interventions emphasize social protection, gender inclusion, and community-driven development. The Nigeria COVID-19 Action Recovery and Economic Stimulus (N-CARES) program, supported by the World Bank, is an example of a responsive initiative designed to protect livelihoods, support food security, and stimulate local economies during the pandemic (World Bank, 2021). This program acknowledges the multifaceted nature of sustainable development by combining economic recovery with social welfare.

Moreover, the effectiveness of IMF and World Bank interventions in Nigeria depends significantly on the country's domestic governance environment. Weak institutions, corruption, policy inconsistency, and political interference continue to undermine the implementation and sustainability of donor-funded projects. Therefore, while the interventions of these institutions provide critical support for Nigeria's development aspirations, their impact is often diluted by systemic inefficiencies and a lack of local ownership (Ajakaiye & Akpan, 2019). For interventions to yield sustainable outcomes, there is a need for stronger alignment between international development goals and national development plans, coupled with improved accountability mechanisms.

**Factors that hinder IMF and World Bank in achieving sustainable Economic Development in Africa and Nigeria.**

Several factors have hindered the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank in achieving sustainable economic development in Africa and Nigeria despite their long-standing presence and interventions in the region. One of the critical impediments is the conditionality attached to their financial assistance and structural adjustment programs. These

conditions often require recipient countries to implement austerity measures, cut public spending, liberalize trade, and privatize state-owned enterprises. According to Stiglitz (2022), these policies, particularly during the 1980s and 1990s, had adverse effects on social welfare and economic stability in many African countries, including Nigeria. The removal of subsidies, especially in health, education, and agriculture, weakened state capacity and eroded public trust in government institutions, thereby deepening poverty and inequality.

Another factor is the perceived misalignment between IMF and World Bank programs and the domestic priorities of African states. Critics argue that many of these programs are developed in Washington with limited input from local stakeholders, leading to policies that do not fully address the unique socio-economic and political contexts of African countries (Adebayo, 2020). In Nigeria, for example, the implementation of structural adjustment policies in the 1980s led to massive devaluation of the naira, increased unemployment, and the collapse of local industries due to an influx of imported goods, which local businesses could not compete with (Ihonvbere, 1993). These experiences illustrate how the imposition of one-size-fits-all solutions often undermines development goals rather than promoting them.

Weak institutional capacity and governance deficits in recipient countries also hinder the effective implementation of IMF and World Bank programs. Poor project management, corruption, lack of accountability, and inefficient public service delivery mechanisms limit the extent to which financial aid translates into sustainable development outcomes. According to the World Bank's own reports, many of its funded projects in Nigeria face delays, cost overruns, and failure to meet project development objectives due to weak institutional frameworks and lack of monitoring and evaluation mechanisms (World Bank, 2021). This implies that even when funding is available, the domestic environment in which development efforts are executed often falls short of ensuring their success.

Furthermore, political instability and conflicts in various parts of Africa pose serious constraints to the sustainable impact of IMF and World Bank interventions. Countries experiencing political turbulence, civil unrest, or insurgency—such as Nigeria's ongoing challenges with Boko Haram in the northeast and armed banditry in the northwest—struggle to maintain the continuity and security necessary for the execution of long-term development programs (Audu, 2018). These security challenges often result in the diversion of resources toward military expenditure rather than investment in infrastructure, health, education, or industrialization, thereby thwarting sustainable development.

There is also growing criticism that IMF and World Bank interventions have focused excessively on macroeconomic indicators such as GDP growth, inflation control, and fiscal balance, without paying sufficient attention to inclusive growth and human development indices. While macroeconomic stability is essential, it does not automatically translate to poverty reduction or equitable income distribution. Scholars like Easterly (2016) argue that such a narrow focus often overlooks the multidimensional nature of development, including environmental sustainability, social equity, and cultural relevance. In Nigeria, macroeconomic reforms supported by the IMF have not significantly reduced poverty levels, as structural bottlenecks such as weak infrastructure, youth unemployment, and an undiversified economy continue to plague the country.

Debt sustainability is another critical issue. The financial assistance provided by the IMF and World Bank, while offering immediate relief, often comes in the form of loans that increase the debt burden of recipient countries. Many African nations, including Nigeria, have witnessed a sharp rise in their external debt stock in recent years, raising concerns about their ability to repay without compromising essential public investments. According to Adegbite,

Ayadi, and Ayadi (2018), the vicious cycle of borrowing and repayment constrains fiscal space and limits government capacity to invest in long-term development initiatives. Although both institutions have introduced debt relief initiatives such as the Heavily Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC) Initiative, the resurgence of debt accumulation in Africa calls into question the sustainability of current funding models.

Moreover, the donor-recipient relationship between the IMF/World Bank and African countries has often been characterized by power asymmetries. The governance structures of both institutions have been criticized for being dominated by developed countries, particularly the United States and European nations, thereby limiting the voice and agency of African countries in shaping the direction of policy and funding decisions. This structural imbalance has contributed to a lack of ownership and accountability on the part of recipient countries, who may feel compelled to comply with externally imposed policies to access funding, rather than pursuing home-grown strategies based on local realities (Woods, 2016).

Environmental sustainability is another area where IMF and World Bank interventions have faced criticism. While the World Bank has increasingly incorporated environmental concerns into its funding strategies, many development projects have been accused of contributing to environmental degradation, displacement of communities, and depletion of natural resources. Large-scale infrastructure projects, for instance, have sometimes resulted in the destruction of ecosystems and biodiversity without adequate mitigation measures (Bond, 2016). In Nigeria, oil and gas development projects funded with international support have raised concerns about environmental pollution and the rights of host communities, particularly in the Niger Delta.

Finally, the limited integration of gender considerations into development planning and implementation remains a hindrance. While the World Bank has initiated programs to support women's economic empowerment, critics argue that more needs to be done to ensure that development interventions are gender-sensitive and address the structural inequalities that marginalize women and girls. Sustainable development cannot be achieved without the full inclusion and participation of all segments of society, including women and other vulnerable groups (UN Women, 2019).

## Conclusion

This study critically examined the roles of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank in promoting sustainable economic development in Africa, with particular reference to Nigeria. It was observed that both institutions have made significant strides through funding, policy advice, and capacity-building initiatives aimed at fostering macroeconomic stability and growth. Their practical interventions, such as the Poverty Reduction Strategy Papers (PRSPs), structural adjustment programs (SAPs), debt relief initiatives, and infrastructural financing, underscore their commitments to sustainable development. However, the study also revealed that the effectiveness of these interventions in Nigeria remains mixed, largely due to factors such as poor governance, policy inconsistency, corruption, debt dependency, and socio-political instability. Furthermore, the challenges confronting the IMF and World Bank in achieving their development objectives in Africa and Nigeria include issues of donor conditionality, weak local institutions, lack of ownership of reforms, and limited alignment with grassroots development needs. Overall, while the intentions of the IMF and World Bank are geared towards fostering long-term economic transformation, addressing contextual and structural impediments remains criticalfor realizing inclusive and sustainable outcomes.

**Recommendations**

The following recommendations are made.

1. Enhancing local ownership of reforms: It is recommended that IMF and World Bank programs in Africa and Nigeria should be more participatory and inclusive, involving local stakeholders in the formulation and implementation of policies. This will ensure better alignment with domestic needs and improve the sustainability of reforms. More so, strengthening institutional capacity and governance: For development strides to be effective, both institutions should prioritize investments in strengthening public sector institutions and promoting good governance, transparency, and accountability. This will mitigate corruption and policy failures that often undermine their interventions.
2. Contextualizing economic interventions: The effectiveness of IMF and World Bank interventions can be improved by tailoring their programs to the socio-economic and political realities of each country, especially in Nigeria. Flexible and context-specific strategies should replace rigid conditionalities to allow for adaptive responses to local challenges.
3. Reducing external dependency and encouraging domestic resource mobilization: Both institutions should support African countries in building self-reliant economies by investing in sectors that promote domestic revenue generation, innovation, and industrialization. Reducing reliance on debt-financed development will contribute to long-term economic sustainability.

**References**

Adebayo, A. (2020). *Economic development and the role of international financial institutions in Sub-Saharan Africa*. Ibadan: Spectrum Books.

Adegbite, E. O., Ayadi, F. S., & Ayadi, O. F. (2018).The impact of Nigeria's external debt on economic development. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 3(3), 285–301.https://doi.org/10.1108/17468800810883740.

Adeniran, A. (2017). The World Bank and Nigeria's development agenda: Between rhetoric and reality. *African Journal of Economic Policy*, *24*(2), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.4314/ajep.v24i2.1.

Ajakaiye, O., &Akpan, H. (2019).The impact of the global financial crisis on the Nigerian economy.*CBN Economic and Financial Review*, 47(4), 25–38. https://www.cbn.gov.ng/out/2010/publications/reports/occasional%20papers/rd/2010/ocp_40.pdfBond, P. (2006). *Looting Africa: The economics of exploitation*.Zed Books.

Ajakaiye, O., & Fakiyesi, T. (2021).*Macroeconomic policy and Nigeria's development*. Ibadan: University Press.

Aryeetey, E., & Baah-Boateng, W. (2022).*Economic growth and development in West Africa: Challenges and prospects*. London: Routledge.

Audu, N. P. (2018). Boko Haram insurgency and Nigeria's foreign policy. *Journal of International Relations and Diplomacy*, 6(6), 290–297. https://doi.org/10.15640/jird.v6n6a6

Barbier, E. B. (1987).The concept of sustainable economic development. *Environmental Conservation, 14*(2), 101–110. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892900011449.

Barnett, M., & Finnemore, M. (2018).*Rules for the world: International organizations in global politics*. Cornell University Press. https://doi.org/10.7591/9781501722961.

Bond, P. (2016). *Looting Africa: The economics of exploitation*.Zed Books.

Calderón, C., & Servén, L. (2020).Infrastructure and economic development in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of African Economies, 19*(suppl_1), i13–i87. https://doi.org/10.1093/jae/ejp022.

Carley, M., & Christie, I. (2020).*Managing sustainable development* (2nd ed.). Earthscan.

Collier, P. (2020). *The future of capitalism: Facing the new anxieties*. London: Harper Collins.

Daly, H. E. (2019). *Beyond growth: The economics of sustainable development*. Beacon Press.

Daly, H. E., & Cobb, J. B. (2017).*For the common good: Redirecting the economy toward community, the environment, and a sustainable future*. Beacon Press.

Easterly, W. (2016).*The white man's burden: Why the West's efforts to aid the rest have done so much ill and so little good*. Penguin Press.

Easterly, W. (2016).*The white man's burden: Why the West's efforts to aid the rest have done so much ill and so little good*. New York: Penguin Press.

Edozien, E. (2021). *Sovereign economic policies and external influences in Nigeria*. Lagos: Pan-African Publishers.

Ekpo, A. H. (2018). *Economic restructuring and Nigeria's development experience*. Abuja: Nigerian Economic Society.

Eze, C. (2020). Assessing IMF's financial support to Nigeria during COVID-19.*African Journal of Economic Policy*, 27(2), 66–79. https://doi.org/10.4314/ajep.v27i2.4.

Eze, C. (2020). IMF conditionalities and socio-economic development in Nigeria: A critical analysis. *Journal of Development and Public Policy, 6*(1), 45–61.

Finnemore, M., & Sikkink, K. (2018).International norm dynamics and political change. *International Organization*, 52(4), 887–917. https://doi.org/10.1162/002081898550789.

Gilpin, R. (2021). *Global political economy: Understanding the international economic order*. Princeton University Press.

Goodland, R., & Daly, H. (2016). Environmental sustainability: Universal and non-negotiable. *Ecological Applications, 6*(4), 1002–1017. https://doi.org/10.2307/2269583.

Hanushek, E. A., & Woessmann, L. (2012). Do better schools lead to more growth? Cognitive skills, economic outcomes, and causation. *Journal of Economic Growth, 17*(4), 267–321. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10887-012-9081-x.

Held, D., & McGrew, A. (2022).*Globalization/anti-globalization*. Polity Press.

Ihonvbere, J. O. (1993). Structural adjustment and political transition in Nigeria: The dialectics of democratization. *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 28(1–2), 86–100. https://doi.org/10.1177/002190969302800106.

Ihonvbere, J. O. (1993). Structural adjustment and political transition in Nigeria: Current dynamics and future prospects. *The Journal of Modern African Studies*, 31(1), 105–120. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022278X00011137.

International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2020). *IMF Executive Board approves US$3.4 billion in emergency support to Nigeria to address the COVID-19 pandemic.* https://www.imf.org/en/News/Articles/2020/04/28/pr20191-nigeria-imf-executive-board-approves-emergency-support-to-address-covid-19

International Monetary Fund. (2019). *Regional economic outlook: Sub-Saharan Africa – Recovery amid elevated uncertainty*. https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/REO/SSA.

International Monetary Fund. (2020). *IMF Executive Board approves US$3.4 billion in emergency support to Nigeria to address the COVID-19 pandemic.* https://www.imf.org/en/News/Articles/2020/04/28/pr20191-nigeria-imf-executive-board-approves-emergency-support.

International Monetary Fund. (2021). *Regional economic outlook for Sub-Saharan Africa: Navigating a long pandemic*. https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/REO/SSA/Issues/2021/10/21/regional-economic-outlook-for-sub-saharan-africa-october-2021.

International Monetary Fund. (2021). *Sub-Saharan Africa: A difficult road to recovery – Regional economic outlook.* https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/REO/SSA/Issues/2021/04/15/regional-economic-outlook-for-sub-saharan-africa-april-2021.

Keohane, R. O. (2019). *International institutions and state power: Essays in international relations theory*. Westview Press.

Krasner, S. D. (Ed.). (1983). *International reimes*. Cornell University Press.

Martin, L. L., & Simmons, B. A. (2018). Theories and empirical studies of international institutions. *International Organization*, 52(4), 729–757. https://doi.org/10.1162/002081898550902.

Mearsheimer, J. J. (2018). The false promise of international institutions.*International Security*, 19(3), 5–49. https://doi.org/10.2307/2539078

Mkandawire, T., & Soludo, C. C. (1999).*Our continent, our future: African perspectives on structural adjustment*. CODESRIA.

Mosley, P., Harrigan, J., & Toye, J. (1995).*Aid and power: The World Bank and policy-based lending* (Vol. 1). Routledge.

Obadan, M. I. (2016). National development planning and budgeting in Nigeria: Some pertinent issues. *Lagos: Broadway Press Limited*.

Ogun, O. (2019). *Structural adjustment programs and economic transformation in Nigeria*. Journal of African Development Studies, 17(3), 45-61.

Okonjo-Iweala, N. (2019). *Reforming Africa's economic future: Policy lessons from Nigeria*. New York: Brookings Institution Press.

Okonjo-Iweala, N., & Osafo-Kwaako, P. (2007). Nigeria's economic reforms: Progress and challenges. *Brookings Global Economy and Development Working Paper Series*.https://www.brookings.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/20070503okonjo-iweala.pdf.

Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2001). *The DAC guidelines: Strategies for sustainable development*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264194779-en.

Pearce, D. W., Barbier, E. B., & Markandya, A. (1989). *Blueprint for a green economy*. Earthscan.

Redclift, M. (2020).The meaning of sustainable development. *Geoforum, 23*(3), 395–403. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7185(92)90050-E.

Rodrik, D. (2018). *Straight talk on trade: Ideas for a sane world economy*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Ruggie, J. G. (2018). *Constructing the world polity: Essays on international institutionalization*. Routledge.

Stiglitz, J. E. (2002). *Globalization and its discontents*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company.

Stiglitz, J. E. (2017). *Globalization and its discontents revisited: Anti-globalization in the era of Trump*. New York: W.W. Norton.

Todaro, M. P., & Smith, S. C. (2020).*Economic development* (13th ed.). Boston: Pearson.

UN Women.(2019). *Gender equality and the sustainable development goals*. Retrieved from https://www.unwomen.org/en/news/in-focus/women-and-the-sdgs.

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (1994). *Human development report 1994*.Oxford University Press. http://hdr.undp.org/en/reports/global/hdr1994.

United Nations Economic Commission for Africa (UNECA).(2016). *Greening Africa's industrialization*. United Nations. https://repository.uneca.org/handle/10855/23030.

Woods, N. (2016). *The globalizers: The IMF, the World Bank, and their borrowers*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

World Bank. (2003). *Sustainable development in a dynamic world: Transforming institutions, growth, and quality of life*. World Development Report 2003.https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/5985.

World Bank. (2018). *Rwanda country program snapshot*. https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/355601543917724582/rwanda-country-program-snapshot.

World Bank. (2019). *Better education service delivery for all (BESDA) project*. https://projects.worldbank.org/en/projects-operations/project-detail/P160430.

World Bank. (2019). *Better Education Service Delivery for All (BESDA) Project: Project appraisal document*.https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/328471536945579628.

World Bank. (2020). *Climate-smart agriculture: Enhancing resilience in Nigeria*. https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/feature/2020/02/26/climate-smart-agriculture-nigeria.

World Bank. (2020). *Nigeria electrification project (NEP)*. https://projects.worldbank.org/en/projects-operations/project-detail/P161885.

World Bank. (2020). *Nigeria Electrification Project (NEP): Implementation progress report*. https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/432311585121720790.

World Bank.(2021). *Nigeria – Performance and learning review*. Retrieved from https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/698721628793081850

World Bank.(2021). *Nigeria COVID-19 Action Recovery and Economic Stimulus (N-CARES)*.https://projects.worldbank.org/en/projects-operations/project-detail/P174114.

World Bank.(2021). *Nigeria COVID-19 Action Recovery and Economic Stimulus (N-CARES) Program for Results*.https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/144611621097267979/nigeria-covid-19-action-recovery-and-economic-stimulus-program-for-results.

World Bank.(2021). *State Fiscal Transparency, Accountability and Sustainability (SFTAS) Program for Results*. https://www.worldbank.org/en/programs/sftas.

World Bank. (2021). *State Fiscal Transparency, Accountability and Sustainability Program (SFTAS): Implementation status and results*. https://projects.worldbank.org/en/projects-operations/project-detail/P162009.

World Bank. (2022). *Nigeria: Social protection and economic resilience report*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank Publications.

World Bank. (2022). *Women's economic empowerment in Africa*. https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/gender/publication/womens-economic-empowerment-in-africa.

World Commission on Environment and Development (WCED). (1987). *Our common future*. Oxford University Press.