
Ethical Leadership and Organizational Integrity in New Testament Theology: Lessons from Jesus and the Apostolic Communities

Adeyemi I. Bello
Founder, Believers Tutors Academy
Ibadan, Nigeria
belloadeyemi@gmail.com
+2348157528732, +2347020691686

Abstract

Contemporary organizations continually face issues of abuse of power, trust deficits, and corruption. This experience in contemporary organizations emphasizes the need to re-examine ethical leadership in organizations. This paper examines New Testament principles of accountability, honesty, stewardship, moral responsibility, and conflict management through exegesis of Matthew 23:1–12, Acts 6:1–7, Titus 1:5–9, and 1 Timothy 3:1–13.

In Matthew 20:25–28, Jesus showcased a model of servant leadership that reveals service and humility as foundational traits, while transparent delegation and shared oversight were illustrated in resolving conflict as seen in Acts 6. The Pastoral epistles also emphasize similar characteristics and, more importantly, serve as standards for leadership positions and the sanity of the church, which is also an organization in its own right. The study asserts that New Testament theology presents leadership as stewardship, in which authority is answerable to God and the community. For contemporary organizations, its implications include plural leadership, proactive accountability structures, financial transparency, and character-based selection for leadership positions. Bringing these principles back into modern organizations offers a theological remedy for leadership shortcomings and a framework for cultivating organizational integrity.

Keywords

Ethical leadership, New Testament theology, organizational integrity, accountability, stewardship, servant leadership, pastoral epistles

1. Introduction

Corruption, office politics, abuse of power, and ethical collapse in corporate, political, and ecclesial organizations have diminished the public trust across the world. In Nigeria and beyond, issues seen around leadership reveal a deficit that is not merely about competence but deeply about character. In their work titled “Developing Leadership Character,” Crossan, Seijts, and Gandz identified character as a core component of effective leadership, while weak character contributes to poor leadership performance and organizational failure.

The Bible presents leadership as a sacred trust. A leader’s first loyalty is to God, and second to the community he/she serves. The New Testament does not isolate leadership skill from moral integrity. It rather identified organizational health in both as an ethical formation. Goodrich (2013) stated that qualifications listed in 1 Timothy and Titus are fundamentally moral and ethical (blamelessness, integrity, self-control) rather than administrative or institutional. Character stewardship is what essentially shows leadership identity in the New Testament.

This paper reviews the New Testament theology of ethical leadership to address the challenges in contemporary organizations. It analyzes four key texts: 1 Timothy 3:1–13, Titus 1:5–9, Acts 6:1–7, and Matthew 23:1–12. These Biblical texts show a coherent theology of stewardship, honesty, conflict management, accountability, and moral responsibility that is both relevant and needed for 21st-century leadership.

2. Theoretical Framework: Leadership as Stewardship

Biblical texts in the New Testament consistently show leadership as stewardship. Stewardship has a clearly defined pattern that shows in both representation as an image bearer of God and responsibility to care for people. This forms the basis and essence of our accountability. Stewardship theory in contemporary research also puts integrity and responsibility as a major component of ethical leadership. The path of stewardship is the path to building a culture of transparency, which further identifies with the Biblical truth that leaders are not owners but stewards.

Davis & Donaldson (1997) argued that leaders are not merely controllers but stewards who act in the interest of the organization and its stakeholders rather than self-interest. This parallels the empirical study of Masewics & Vogel (2014), which shows that leadership in practice acts as stewards responsible for collective welfare, shared purpose, and organizational trust. This further clarifies that leadership and stewardship are inseparable if leadership must be done ethically with organizational integrity in mind.

3. Exegesis of Key New Testament Texts

3.1 Titus 1:5-9 and 1 Timothy 3:1-12: Qualifications and Integrity

Pauline’s epistles provide a list of qualifications for elders/overseers that stresses character over charisma. An overseer “must be above reproach, the husband of but one wife, temperate, self-controlled, respectable, hospitable, able to teach”. Titus adds, “not arrogant or quick-tempered or a drunkard or violent or greedy for gain, but hospitable, a lover of good, self-controlled, upright, holy, and disciplined” (Titus 1:7-8). Using these qualifications to choose leaders can also serve as an organization's risk management to focus on their character and integrity. Ethical Leaders always put checks in place for risk management.

3.2 Acts 6:1-7: Accountability and Conflict Management

When a complaint arose that the Hellenist widows were neglected during the daily distributions, the Apostles' response was classic, with issue resolution in mind and a focus on

progress. “It is not right that we should give up preaching the word of God to serve tables. Therefore, brothers, pick out from among you seven men of good repute, full of the Spirit and of wisdom, whom we will appoint to this duty” (Acts 6:2-3). Here are 5 things we can pick from their response;

3.2.1. Assignment: The Apostles reiterated their assignment and their commitment to doing it while creating a path to handle the issue. This is lacking in most contemporary organizations. Most leaders would rather throw away the bathwater with the baby, causing the organization harm and making the people they lead less important.

3.2.2. Leadership Care: The apostles’ response shows that they not only care about their assignment, but they also care about the people they lead. While they cannot abandon the assignment to serve tables, they immediately created a solution for it. This is responsive leadership that is committed to both the people they serve and the assignment.

3.2.3. Process: The selection process was clear with the selection attributes. This enabled the congregation to know people who would serve them and the criteria used to check them. This also means selection was done by the people, as opposed to the contemporary organization.

3.2.4. Delegation: The response showed that the Apostles delegated the responsibility and avoided the path to micromanaging. This opened the path to sensible conflict resolution while keeping the focus on their assignment.

3.2.5. Plurality: In resolving the conflict, the responsibility was shared among seven men. The odd number was also instrumental in resolving issues among the chosen in case there is any need to make a decision or vote among them.

3.3 Mathew 23:1-12: Hypocrisy

Jesus showed us critical leadership errors in the Scribes and Pharisees' style of leadership and its direct implications on the people. The Pharisees and Scribes carried out their actions not because it was right, but so that the people can have a perception of them. That was a self seeking path that was blind to the goal of their position. They were also identified as putting burdens on people that they themselves could not carry. It was both unethical and unhealthy leadership. Leadership has always being about make lives better as you influence the lives. In those days, people gave them the titles, not the trust, because they could not earn it through ethical leadership and Integrity.

4. New Testament Principles for Contemporary Organizational Integrity

4.1 Stewardship: Stewardship is what places leaders to treat and use resources with the mind that an account of what was done will be required. Ethical leadership gives an open door policy for their work and decisions to be accessed. They lead with the consciousness that they can give an account at any time without fear. This is what ethical leadership translates to in practice.

4.2 Moral Responsibility: Titus 1:7 demands overseers be “above reproach”. This speaks directly to the moral responsibility of anyone who will be considered for leadership. Proverb 29:2 states, “When the righteous flourish, the people rejoice, but when the wicked rule, the people groan”. This shows how leadership changes things for people. Only morally responsible leadership can navigate towards a positive direction.

4.3 Honesty and Truthfulness: Leaders are called and expected to be honest and truthful in all their engagements. The entire qualifications listed for elders/overseers rest on honesty and truthfulness. Ethical leadership can not be practiced without honesty and truthfulness.

Truthfulness among leaders is continually decreasing in contemporary organizations, which is a deep-rooted concern.

4.4 Conflict Management and Justice: Acts 6 exemplifies resolving ethnic conflict via participatory decision-making. Ethnic conflict is one of the deadliest conflicts that ruins modern organizations subtly. Micah 6:8 requires leaders to “act justly, to love mercy, and to walk humbly.” This was mirrored in Acts 6 and gave a resolution that solved not just the issue at hand but also created a path for participation.

4.5 Accountability: Accountability is an essential biblical principle that emphasizes the leader’s responsibility to answer for their actions. Hebrews 13:17 reveals this principle, and James 3:1 cautions teachers and shows the principle in practice. Without accountability, leadership will be devoid of transparency, which automatically leads to unethical leadership.

5. Leadership Relevance to Contemporary Challenges

5.1 Corruption: Corrupt practices have not only destroyed organizations, but they have also destroyed many individuals in the process. Bashir M. and Hassan S. (2019), through their empirical study, reveal that corruption remains a major challenge for contemporary leadership and that ethical leadership significantly reduces corrupt practices within the organization. The New Testament antidote to this is stewardship, and he that is faithful in little will be given more (Matthew 25:23). In practical resolution, leadership can solve the challenge of corruption by implementing processes like dual-signature finances, external audits, etc., that will help the leaders stick to ending corrupt practices.

5.2 Abuse of Power: A meta-analysis of 418 studies demonstrated that destructive leadership behaviours, including abuse of power and authority, remain a persistent challenge in contemporary organizations. Mackey, J. D., Ellen, B. P., McAllister, C. P., & Alexander, K. C. (2021). The remedial new testament to abuse of power is servant leadership, as Jesus told his disciples (Matthew 20:25). Leadership must be done with love and kindness.

5.3 Trust Deficits: A meta-analysis of 106 studies found that trust in leadership significantly affects employee performance, job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and willingness to follow leaders. The study demonstrates that a lack of trust undermines leadership effectiveness. Dirks, K. T., & Ferrin, D. L. (2002). To resolve this across sectors is transparency in decision-making. Ethical leadership shows in openness in decision-making.

5.4 Workplace Ethics: Brown, M. E., & Treviño, L. K. (2006) argued that ethical challenges in the workplace have become a central concern for leaders due to corporate scandals, misconduct, and declining public trust. It identifies ethical leadership as a critical response to workplace ethics problems. The New Testament antidote is prescribed in 1 Timothy 3:2, which is that leaders be above reproach. In application, the organization must go beyond academic qualifications and embrace Character-based hiring at all entry points, while they also enforce codes of conduct for all employees.

5.5 Leadership Accountability: Wood, J. A., & Winston, B. E. (2005) argued that accountability is a critical leadership construct and that leaders are increasingly expected to justify their decisions, actions, and organizational outcomes to stakeholders. This parallels the “giving account” clause in Hebrews 13:17. Organizations would have to provide accountability partners, board oversight, and audits within their processes.

5.6 Office Politics: Vigoda-Gadot, E. (2007) revealed that organizational politics is a persistent leadership challenge that reduces performance and complicates leadership effectiveness in modern organizations. Office politics majorly sits on the selfishness of individual(s) who do not care about the consequences of their actions as long as their

personal interest is achieved. Character-based employment and genuine business processes with codes of conduct will solve the problem of office politics.

6. Conclusion

The New Testament theology showcases ethical leadership as a theological imperative and not just a mere management tactic. Jesus revealed that the path to greatness is service, accountability was institutionalised by the Apostles, and the pastoral mandate requires quality character. At a time like this, when organizations crumble as a result of corruption, trust deficit, abuse of power, office politics, and accountability issues, ethical leadership remains the remedial path to build sane organizations.

There is an urgent need to reintroduce this theology as a foundational resource to build organizations. Organizations that embed 1 Timothy 3, Titus 1, Acts 6, and Matthew 23 are not likely to eliminate risks, but they would have woven integrity into the very core of the organization, which allows for trust. Ethical leadership is the simple ultimate stewardship to building organizations with God and humanity in mind.

References

- Bashir, M., & Hassan, S. (2019). The need for ethical leadership in combating corruption. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 86(4), 689–707. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852318825386>
- Brown, M. E., & Treviño, L. K. (2006) Ethical Leadership: A Review and Future Directions. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 17(6), 595–616. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2006.10.004>
- Crossan, M., Seijts, G., & Gandz, J. (2015). *Developing Leadership Character*. London: Routledge.
- Davis, J. H., Schoorman, F. D., & Donaldson, L. (1997). Toward a stewardship theory of management. *Academy of Management Review*, 22(1), 20–47. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.1997.9707180258>
- Dirks, K. T., & Ferrin, D. L. (2002). Trust in Leadership: Meta-Analytic Findings and Implications for Research and Practice. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(4), 611–628. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.87.4.611>
- Goodrich, John K. (2013). “Overseers as Stewards and the Qualifications for Leadership in the Pastoral Epistles.” *Zeitschrift für die Neutestamentliche Wissenschaft*, 104(1), 77–96. <https://doi.org/10.1515/znw-2013-0003>
- Mackey, J. D., Ellen, B. P., McAllister, C. P., & Alexander, K. C. (2021). The Dark Side of Leadership: A Systematic Literature Review and Meta-Analysis of Destructive Leadership Research. *Journal of Business Research*, 132, 705–718. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.10.037>
- Masewicz, S. M., & Vogel, L. (2014). Stewardship as a sense-making model of leadership. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 52(6), 769–786. <https://doi.org/10.1177/105268461402400602>
- Vigoda-Gadot, E. (2007). Leadership style, organizational politics, and employees’ performance: An empirical examination of two competing models. *Personnel Review*, 36(5), 661–683. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00483480710773981>

Wood, J. A., & Winston, B. E. (2005). Toward a New Understanding of Leader Accountability: Defining a Critical Construct. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 11(3), 84–94.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/107179190501100307?>