IJAAR PUBLISHING®

International Journal of Education and National Development | ISSN: 3027-0626
Vol. 4, Issue 2 (2026) | www.ijaar.org

# INNOVATIVE APPROACHES IN THE TEACHING OF COMPLEX CONCEPTS IN SECONDARY SCHOOL PHYSICS

**BY**

**CHUKWUIJEOMA OGECHI**
**DEPARTMENT OF CURRICULUM STUDIES AND INSTRUCTIONAL TECHNOLOGY**
**IGNATIUS AJURU UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, PORT HARCOURT, NIGERIA**

**Abstract**

*The teaching of complex concepts in physics remains a persistent challenge due to the subject's abstract nature, mathematical rigor, and the prevalence of student misconceptions. Traditional lecture-based methods often fail to promote deep conceptual understanding and problem-solving abilities. This seminar examines innovative pedagogical approaches – such as active learning, inquiry – based learning, modeling instruction, technology-enhanced learning, and artificial intelligence integration- that have demonstrated effectiveness in improving student's conceptual mastery, engagement and retention.This paper summarises the evidence of how these methods change physics education to facilitate in-depth learning of difficult ideas like electromagnetism, quantum mechanics, and thermodynamics, drawing on recent research (2019–2025), the paper highlights how these approaches foster critical thinking, enhance visualization of abstract phenomena, and support individualized learning. The seminar concludes that adopting innovative, learner-centered strategies is essential for effective physics instruction, outlines future research and offers useful suggestions for implementation in contemporary educational contexts.*

**Keywords: Innovative approach, Physics education, Complex concepts, , Active learning.**



https://doi.org/10.46654/yrw0v124

## Introduction

Physics is fundamental to scientific and technological progress as well as occupies a central position in science education due to its role in explaining natural phenomena and underpinning technological advancement. However, the teaching and learning of physics—particularly complex and advanced concepts—remain a significant challenge across secondary and tertiary education. Concepts such as quantum mechanics, electromagnetism, thermodynamics, and relativity are inherently abstract, mathematically intensive, and often counterintuitive, making them difficult for learners to comprehend through conventional instructional methods. Students frequently report having trouble understanding more complex ideas like relativistic mechanics, electromagnetic field theory, and wave-particle duality (Kalogirou & Psillos, 2021). These challenges are caused by the discipline's abstract and mathematical character, students' ingrained misconceptions, and conventional teacher-centered teaching methods (Wieman & Holmes, 2020). By encouraging active participation, conceptual thinking, and the integration of real-world occurrences, innovative teaching strategies seek to make physics more approachable.

The complexity of physics arises from several interrelated factors, including the need to integrate multiple representations (mathematical, graphical, and conceptual), the abstract nature of theoretical constructs, and the cognitive demands associated with problem-solving. Learners are frequently required to reconcile their everyday experiences with scientific explanations that may contradict intuition, leading to persistent misconceptions and fragmented understanding. For instance, research on quantum physics education highlights that students encounter significant conceptual barriers due to the departure from classical physics frameworks. Consequently, traditional teaching approaches—dominated by lectures and algorithmic problem-solving—are often insufficient for fostering deep learning and conceptual change.

In response to these challenges, there has been a paradigm shift in physics education toward the adoption of innovative instructional approaches that prioritize active engagement, conceptual understanding, and learner-centered pedagogy. Innovative approaches can be broadly defined as research-informed teaching strategies that move beyond passive knowledge transmission to actively involve learners in the construction of knowledge. These approaches are rooted in constructivist and socio-cognitive learning theories, which emphasize that learning occurs through interaction, inquiry, and reflection rather than mere reception of information.

Recent research underscores the effectiveness of innovative pedagogical approaches in improving student learning outcomes in physics. For example, studies have demonstrated that active and student-centered teaching methods significantly enhance critical thinking, problem-solving skills, and conceptual understanding compared to traditional lecture-based instruction. Similarly, systematic reviews indicate that innovative strategies—such as hands-on learning, collaborative problem-solving, and technology integration—lead to improved engagement and academic performance in science education.

Among the most prominent innovative approaches in physics education are active learning, inquiry-based learning, problem-based learning, modeling instruction, and technology-enhanced instruction. Active learning strategies engage students in discussions, peer instruction, and collaborative problem-solving, thereby promoting deeper cognitive processing. Inquiry-based and problem-based learning approaches immerse students in

authentic scientific practices, encouraging them to ask questions, design investigations, and construct explanations. Modelling instruction, on the other hand, emphasizes the development and application of conceptual and mathematical models, enabling learners to understand the relationships between physical variables.

Technological advancements have further expanded the possibilities for innovative physics instruction. Digital simulations, virtual laboratories, augmented reality (AR), and artificial intelligence (AI)-driven platforms allow students to visualize and interact with abstract phenomena that are otherwise inaccessible. These tools are particularly valuable in teaching advanced topics, where direct experimentation may be impractical or impossible. For instance, simulation-based learning enables learners to manipulate variables in real time and observe the effects on physical systems, thereby enhancing conceptual clarity.

Despite the growing body of evidence supporting innovative approaches, their implementation in many educational contexts remains limited due to factors such as inadequate teacher training, lack of resources, and rigid curricula. This gap highlights the need for continued research and advocacy to promote the adoption of effective, evidence-based teaching practices in physics education.

Against this backdrop, this seminar examines innovative approaches in the teaching of complex concepts in secondary school physics, with a focus on their theoretical foundations, practical applications, and impact on student learning. The central argument is that innovative, learner-centered strategies are essential for addressing the cognitive challenges inherent in physics and for fostering meaningful, transferable understanding. By synthesizing current research and best practices, this study aims to provide a comprehensive framework for improving the teaching and learning of physics in contemporary educational settings. This paper makes the case that technology, modelling, inquiry, and well-planned active learning settings all contribute to quantifiable gains in student comprehension and motivation.

**Innovative Approaches**

In order to improve conceptual understanding, engagement, and higher-order thinking skills, innovative approaches are learner-centred, research-based teaching strategies that purposefully diverge from traditional lecture-dominated pedagogy. In order to promote conceptual transformation and deep learning, innovative techniques in physics education place a strong emphasis on active learning, inquiry, modelling, teamwork, and the thoughtful use of digital technology. These methods are based on constructivist learning theory, which holds that rather than passively absorbing information, students actively create knowledge through interaction, introspection, and problem-solving (Wieman & Holmes, 2020; von Glasersfeld, 2020).

Inquiry-based learning, modelling instruction, flipped classrooms, simulation-based learning, and adaptive learning systems are examples of operationally innovative approaches that have been empirically demonstrated to enhance students' conceptual understanding of challenging physics topics (Deslauriers et al., 2019; Brewe, 2022).

**Complexity**

The degree to which a concept is difficult for students to understand without instructional scaffolding due to its high cognitive load, abstract representations, multiple interacting factors, and non-linear interactions is referred to as its complexity. Complex concepts in educational settings increase intrinsic cognitive load by requiring students to process

conceptual, mathematical, and representational information all at once (Sweller et al., 2019). The abstract character of theoretical models, the incorporation of sophisticated mathematics, and the requirement to coordinate many representations, including equations, graphs, diagrams, and physical interpretations, are frequently the sources of complexity in physics (Redish & Hammer, 2021). Complex physics ideas therefore necessitate teaching methods that promote conceptual coherence while lowering cognitive overload.

**Concept**

Concepts are abstract mental constructions that serve to organise information and explain phenomena by representing categories, relationships, or principles. Concepts in education allow students to make predictions, analyse experiences, and apply information in many circumstances (Novak & Cañas, 2020). Beyond simply memorising facts, conceptual comprehension includes the capacity to articulate concepts, identify guiding principles, and apply information to new contexts. Concepts facilitate meaningful learning and long-term retention in science education by serving as fundamental cognitive units that connect empirical findings to theoretical explanations (Ausubel, 2018; Novak &Cañas, 2020).

**Concepts in Physics**

Fundamental theoretical notions and principles that characterise and explain the behaviour of matter, energy, space, and time are known as concepts in physics. In order to accurately anticipate and explain natural processes, these ideas—which serve as the foundation for physical laws and models—are frequently stated mathematically (Young & Freedman, 2020). Force, energy, momentum, electric and magnetic fields, and wave motion are a few examples of fundamental physics ideas. Physics is intellectually and cognitively hard since it demands students to combine conceptual thinking with mathematical modelling and experimental evidence in order to understand topics (Hestenes, 2019; Redish, 2020).

**Complex Concepts in Physics**

High-level theoretical and applied notions involving abstract thinking, complex mathematical formalism, and counterintuitive models of physical reality are known as complex concepts in physics. These ideas, which cover topics like quantum mechanics, electromagnetic, thermodynamics, relativity, and nuclear physics, are usually presented at the senior secondary, undergraduate, or doctoral levels (Griffiths & Schroeter, 2018; Young & Freedman, 2020). Learners' ordinary intuitions and prior knowledge are frequently challenged by advanced physics concepts, necessitating conceptual restructuring and epistemological transformations. Therefore, creative methods that encourage visualisation, modelling, inquiry, and gradual abstraction are necessary for the successful teaching of complex physics topics (Brewe, 2022; Wieman, 2021).

**Challenges in Teaching Complex Physics Concepts**

Research on physics education frequently points to student misconceptions as a learning obstacle. For instance, students frequently misinterpret electric field lines as tangible objects or have trouble balancing quantum mechanical wave and particle models (Hestenes, 2019). Because traditional lectures do not give students the chance to examine and improve their understanding, these misconceptions continue to exist (Redish & Hammer, 2021). These are the most cutting-edge methods for instructing complex physics.

1. **Active Learning and Constructivism**

The term "active learning" describes teaching methods in which students actively create knowledge rather than merely absorbing it.

- Peer education, guided discovery, and cooperative problem solving are some of the techniques.

- In large physics classes, peer tutoring has been demonstrated to dramatically increase conceptual knowledge (Mazur, 2020). Students use the Mentimeter voting method to answer conceptual problems on their own. They then debate their responses with peers to persuade them before casting another ballot. This works especially well for "threshold concepts," which are frequently misinterpreted.

- Research shows that students who participate in active learning do better on conceptual inventories and problem-solving tests than their classmates who get instruction through traditional lectures (Deslauriers et al., 2019).

- The "Spin First" Approach to Quantum Mechanics: This method makes quantum physics more approachable by introducing it at the high school or lower-secondary level by emphasising electron spin as a two-state system instead of beginning with Schrodinger's equation.

- In order to enhance conceptual understanding, the discipline-culture (DC) approach is a curriculum structure that places an emphasis on concepts (the nucleus), their demonstration (the body), and contrast with classical mechanics (the periphery). These methods are supported by constructivist theory, which holds that students build new knowledge on top of existing concepts through social interaction, experimentation, and reflection (von Glasersfeld, 2020).

2. Technology-Enhanced Physics Teaching By facilitating visualisation, simulation, and instantaneous feedback, technology is a key component of contemporary physics pedagogy.

**Simulations and Virtual Lab**

Through simulations, physical processes that are invisible become visible and controllable.

- Enhanced comprehension of electric circuits and quantum phenomena has been associated with interactive simulations, such as PhET (Adams et al., 2021). With the help of these animations, students can change variables in real time, such as gravity or electron charge, giving them a better understanding of nuclear physics, quantum tunnelling, and wave interference.
- When access to real equipment is restricted, virtual labs offer scalable, secure settings for testing (Sokoloff & Thornton, 2019).
  Virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR): Programs such as MAROON-VR help students overcome the difficulty of visualising invisible fields by enabling them to conduct, for instance, 3D investigations of electromagnetism in a virtual lab. Through the use of AR and VR technology, students can interact with complicated systems in three-dimensional worlds.

- According to preliminary research, AR can enhance spatial reasoning and lessen cognitive strain in electromagnetic activities (Ibáñez & Delgado-Kloos, 2020).
- It has been demonstrated that VR environments enhance conceptual knowledge in relativity and optics (Merchant et al., 2022).
  Interactive Screen Experiments (ISEs) are "highly interactive movies" of experiments that are used as pre-lab assignments to help students get acquainted with complex tools (such as oscilloscopes and spectrometers) before they enter the lab.

**3.** AI-Powered Support and Personalisation
AI tutors (like ChatGPT and Astra AI): These offer immediate, round-the-clock help with deciphering intricate theories, creating personalised practice problems, and methodically resolving physics equations.
Intelligent tutoring systems: AI is able to assess a student's progress in learning and modify the curriculum, providing tailored feedback to assist clear up misunderstandings, especially in subjects like thermodynamics.

**Adaptive and Personalized Learning Systems**

Data analytics and machine learning are used by adaptive learning technologies to customise training to meet the needs of each individual student.

- Platforms that offer targeted practice and adaptive feedback have demonstrated potential in assisting with the mastery of intricate computations and multi-step reasoning tasks (Kerr & Chung, 2024).
- Research supporting differentiated instruction in physics classrooms is consistent with personalisation (Levesque & Stains, 2023). Additional techniques include problem-based learning and inquiry-based learning (IBL/PBL).
  Students who participate in inquiry-based learning ask questions, plan experiments, and draw conclusions—a process that is consistent with real-world scientific research.
- PBL increases relevance and student motivation by promoting the application of physics to real-world problems (Thomas, 2020).
- Meta-analyses show that improvements in conceptual mastery and critical thinking are facilitated by both IBL and PBL (Hmelo-Silver, 2021).

**Modelling Instruction**

Students must build, test, and improve models that depict physical systems as part of modelling instruction.

- This method has yielded favourable results in kinematics and force concepts and prioritises sense-making over rote memorisation (Brewe, 2022).
- Studies indicate that modelling training enhances students' ability to reason like physicists and lessens misconceptions (Gonzalez, 2023).

**Table 1: Main Approaches and Evidence Synthesis**

| Approach | Core Features | Primary Benefits | Evidence Source |
|---|---|---|---|
| Active Learning | Collaborative tasks, peer instruction | Improved conceptual test scores | Deslauriers et al., 2019 |
| Simulations & Virtual Labs | Interactive models, real-time feedback | Enhanced visualization, experimentation | Adams et al., 2021 |
| AR/VR | Immersive environments | Better spatial reasoning | Ibáñez & Delgado-Kloos, 2020 |
| Inquiry/PBL | Student questions, real problems | Critical thinking gains | Thomas, 2020 |
| Modeling Instruction | Model development & testing | Reduced misconceptions | Brewe, 2022 |
| Adaptive Learning Systems | Personalized pathways | Individualized support | Kerr & Chung, 2024 |

## Conclusion

It is necessary to intentionally move away from traditional lecturing and toward instructional strategies that prioritise student interaction, conceptual reasoning, and technological integration when teaching complicated and advanced physics subjects. According to the information compiled at this seminar, strategies including inquiry-based learning, modelling instruction, AR/VR, active learning, simulations, and adaptive systems are linked to better student outcomes. By bringing abstract ideas to life, encouraging group discussion, and accommodating different learning speeds, these techniques help to address the cognitive and emotive aspects of learning. Crucially, proper infrastructure, careful alignment with learning objectives, and professional development for educators are necessary for successful deployment.

## Suggestions

1. Professional Development: Physics instructors should receive training in technology integration and active learning design from educational institutions.
2. Blended Learning Models: To increase student engagement, incorporate online simulation modules with interactive in-class activities.
3. Assessment Reform: Move away from strictly procedural problem solving and toward evaluations that emphasise conceptual reasoning and model-based explanations.
4. Research-Practice Partnerships: To improve educational interventions iteratively, promote partnerships between researchers and practitioners.

**References**

Adams, W. K., Perkins, K. K., Podolefsky, N. S., Dubson, M., & Finkelstein, N. D. (2021). Impact of interactive simulations on student understanding of electric circuits. *Journal of Science Education and Technology, 30*(2), 123–139.

Ausubel, D. P. (2018). *Educational psychology: A cognitive view.* Holt, Rinehart & Winston.

Brewe, E. (2022). Modelling instruction in introductory physics: A student-centred approach. *Physics Education Research Journal, 18*(4), 45–59.

Deslauriers, L., McCarty, L. S., Miller, K., Callaghan, K., & Kestin, G. (2019). Measuring actual learning versus feeling of learning in response to being actively engaged in the classroom. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116*(39), 19251–19257.

Gonzalez, A. (2023). Modelling instruction effects on conceptual change in advanced mechanics. *International Journal of Physics Education, 12*(3), 78–95.

Griffiths, D. J., & Schroeter, D. F. (2018). *Introduction to quantum mechanics* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Hmelo-Silver, C. E. (2021). Problem-based learning: What and how do students learn? *Educational Psychology Review, 33*(2), 541–568.

Hestenes, D. (2019). Student expectations in introductory physics. *American Journal of Physics, 87*(5), 389–396.

Ibáñez, M. B., & Delgado-Kloos, C. (2020). Augmented reality for STEM learning: A systematic review. *Computers & Education, 147*, Article 103778.

Kalogirou, S., & Psillos, D. (2021). Students' understanding of electromagnetic concepts: A review. *European Journal of Physics Education, 12*(1), 24–40.

Kerr, M., & Chung, G. K. (2024). Adaptive learning technologies in physics education: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Technology, 22*(1), 112–130.

Levesque, E. N., & Stains, M. (2023). Differentiated instruction in undergraduate STEM classrooms. *Journal of College Science Teaching, 52*(3), 22–29.

Mazur, E. (2020). *Peer instruction: A user's manual.* Pearson.

Merchant, Z., Goetz, E. T., Cifuentes, L., Keeney-Kruse, W., & Davis, T. J. (2022). Immersive VR for physics learning: A longitudinal study. *Educational Media International, 59*(1), 15–32.

Novak, J. D., & Cañas, A. J. (2020). *The theory underlying concept maps and how to construct them.* Florida Institute for Human and Machine Cognition.

Redish, E. F. (2020). *Teaching physics with the physics suite.* Wiley.

Redish, E. F., & Hammer, D. (2021). Reinventing physics teaching: A focus on conceptual understanding. *Physics Education Research Journal, 17*(2), 5–24.

Sokoloff, D. R., & Thornton, R. K. (2019). Active learning in introductory physics laboratories. *American Journal of Physics, 87*(7), 586–592.

Sweller, J., Ayres, P., & Kalyuga, S. (2019). *Cognitive load theory* (2nd ed.). Springer.

Thomas, J. W. (2020). *A review of research on project-based learning.* The Autodesk Foundation.

Von Glasersfeld, E. (2020). *Radical constructivism: A way of knowing and learning.* Routledge.

Wieman, C. E. (2021). *Improving how universities teach science.* Harvard University Press.

Wieman, C. E., & Holmes, N. G. (2020). Teaching physics effectively: What works and why. *Physics Today, 73*(5), 3.

Young, H. D., & Freedman, R. A. (2020). *University physics with modern physics* (15th ed.). Pearson.