IJAAR PUBLISHING®

African Journal of Environment and Sustainable Development | ISSN: 3027-2718
Vol. 4, Issue 2 (2026) | https://doi.org/10.46654/v826zc23

Impact of Environmental Education on Sustainable Practices in Enhancing Community Participation in Ecosystem Conservation in Rivers State

[1]Uduigwomen, D. E., [1]Dollah, O. C. & [1]Gobo, A. E
[1]Institute of Geosciences and Environmental Management, Rivers State University Nkpolu-Oroworukwo Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria

Corresponding Email: osademe.dollah@ust.edu.ng

Abstract

This research investigated how environmental education influences sustainable behaviors to boost community involvement in ecosystem protection in Rivers State, Nigeria. A cross-sectional survey methodology was employed, sampling 400 individuals based on Taro Yamane's formula (N = 9,898,716 estimated population). Data were obtained through structured questionnaires and analyzed using descriptive statistics (frequencies, percentages, mean, and standard deviation) along with inferential statistics (one-way ANOVA). Results from Research Question One indicated a substantial consensus that environmental education and community involvement play a crucial role in environmental preservation. The overall mean score of 4.09 (SD = 0.92) shows a strong agreement among participants. The highest levels of agreement were found regarding increased participation when environmental benefits are clear (WM = 4.17), the role of environmental education in fostering sustainable resource management (WM = 4.13), and its effect on adhering to environmental laws (WM = 4.11). Additional significant contributions included the decrease in pollution and environmental harm (WM = 4.07) and the enhancement of conservation initiatives (WM = 4.08), all surpassing the average mean of 3.00. Findings from Research Question Two indicated an overall favorable outlook on strategies for enhancing environmental conservation, with a grand mean of 3.77 (SD = 1.01). There was strong agreement on avoiding detrimental environmental actions (WM = 4.20) and motivating others to safeguard the environment (WM = 4.07). Conversely, the reporting of environmental issues to authorities received a lower mean score of 3.29, suggesting a lack of engagement with formal reporting mechanisms. Inferential statistical analysis uncovered significant differences in views on contributions ($F = 5.51$, $p = 0.0002$) and strategies ($F = 8.047$, $p < 0.001$), which led to the rejection of both null hypotheses. The research concludes that environmental education and community engagement are vital factors in ecosystem conservation, although their effectiveness differs depending on the methods used. It advocates for enhanced environmental education initiatives and better institutional reporting frameworks to promote sustainable environmental governance in Rivers State.

Keywords: *Education, Sustainable, Practices, Enhancing& Community Participation & Ecosystem*

INTRODUCTION

Community participation in ecosystem conservation has revealed limited interest in developing countries. Ironically, the depletion and distortion in the ecosystem is a product of unsustainable exploration and use of natural resources. The increase in population and more demand for ecosystem services have manifested in significant depletion of resources and hazards. But the level of literacy in rural communities and the abdication of civic obligation to protect the ecosystem system have remained problematic. Chokor (2007) argued that community-based organizations offer the pathway to achieving ecosystem stability. This argument is intended to interrogate the assertion in the literature that community residents are passive. The case of River state has shown that the ecosystem system is under pressure from farming practices in the rural communities, oil and gas exploration, costal inundation, flooding and waste. The case of the urban areas have shown high rate of population increase, urban expansion, emergence of peri-urban spaces, urban densification and waste. The disappearance of the urban ecology is also conspicuous. But in spite of the environmental problems, community engagement and participation has remained limited in river state.

Environment conservation is not only done with a view to ensuring the survival of the ecosystem but also to gaining benefits associated with it. Ntuli and Muchapondwa (2015) argued that the benefits associated with protecting the environment are economic and cultural. Economically, protecting the environment would sustain the livelihood of the vulnerable communities, ensure that water is available, not polluted, ensure that plants and animal species are protected and guide against extinction. The cultural ramification of environmental conservation is complex and not robust in the literature. However, Ahmadpour et al. (2017) argued that the cultures of different societies are linked with the environment. In some African communities, protected forested areas, rivers, control of fishing activities represent the cultural history of the people. The protection of totems also shows the lived and historical experiences of the people. But in recent years, there is evidence of rapid modification of the environment beyond what natural remediation can recover.

Cultural reasons may also influence the capacity of nearby networks to take part in conventional neighborhood asset administration practices. Méndez-López (2014) suggested, local communities often participate in conservation and as an obligation towards collective customary laws. In India, for instance, people are bound by taboos and spirituality to keep up standard woods administration hones in holy woodlands (Ormsby & Bhagwat, 2010). Besides, it was expected that conservation could result into economic prosperity for the participating communities through different ventures such as tourism and regulated wildlife harvesting. The approach of community conservation came as a counter-narrative to the fortress approach and has two elements: to allow people in and around protected regions to take interest in the management of preservation resources and the linkage of conservation destinations to local improvement needs. The case of Rivers state has revealed ecosystem distortion and limited participation of the people. Therefore, this study would examine the environmental problems in the state and the conservation methods adopted by the residents.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The conceptual basis for comprehending the effects of environmental education on sustainable behaviors and community involvement in ecosystem preservation can be grounded in established theories of behavior and participation. The Value–Belief–Norm (VBN) theory introduced by Stern in the year 2000 articulates that pro-environmental actions result from a sequence where personal values shape beliefs regarding environmental issues, which subsequently trigger individual norms that direct actions. This theory asserts that

awareness of environmental impacts and a sense of accountability are vital for encouraging environmentally responsible behaviors (Stern, 2000 as referenced in Wensing et al., 2019). Its significance for this research lies in illustrating how programs focused on environmental education can influence individuals' values and beliefs, thus drive sustainable behaviors and promoting community-led conservation efforts. In addition, the Social Cognitive Theory established by Bandura (1986) stresses the interplay between personal, behavioral, and environmental elements.

This theory indicates that learning takes place via observation, social interaction, and active involvement, making it especially pertinent to environmental education initiatives that depend on hands-on learning and community participation (Beauchamp et al., 2019; Lim et al., 2020). Furthermore, the Theory of Participation presented by Reed (2017) emphasises that successful environmental management relies on inclusive engagement of stakeholders, collaborative decision-making, and meaningful involvement, thus offering a framework for understanding how community participation improves conservation results. Together, these theories underscore that simply acquiring knowledge is not sufficient; true behavioral transformation and ongoing involvement arise from internalising values, engaging socially, and being part of inclusive processes.

In a conceptual sense, environmental education is viewed as a systematic approach designed to cultivate the necessary knowledge, skills, attitudes, and behaviors required to tackle environmental issues and enhance sustainability. It goes beyond merely raising awareness to encompass action-oriented learning that empowers individuals and communities to engage in solving environmental problems. Global research synthesis indicates that environmental education plays a crucial role in shaping environmental attitudes, reinforcing intentions to act, and strengthening relationships between communities and nature (Ardoin et al., 2026). Within this framework, sustainable practices encompass environmentally friendly actions like conservation efforts, reducing waste, using resources efficiently, and protecting ecosystems, which frequently result from effective environmental education initiatives. Community involvement, conversely, refers to the active participation of local communities in the processes of decision-making, planning, and execution of conservation projects. The conceptual connection among these elements is that environmental education acts as the catalyst for building knowledge and awareness, which then leads to sustainable practices and encourages robust community participation in conserving ecosystems. Research indicates that community-focused, participatory environmental education methods are especially successful because they view individuals as active contributors instead of passive recipients, thereby fostering a stronger sense of ownership and long-term commitment to environmental stewardship. Moreover, models of community environmental education emphasise that public involvement, effective communication about environmental issues, and collaborative learning are essential for generating significant environmental results and empowering communities to engage in collective action.

Empirical evidence is increasingly supporting the beneficial connection between environmental education, sustainable behaviors, and community involvement. Ballard, Lindell, and Jadallah (2024) performed a comprehensive review of 100 empirical investigations concerning community and citizen science initiatives, revealing that environmental education considerably enhances individuals' environmental knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors, while also promoting a sense of community and collaboration (Ardoin et al. 2023). Their research indicates that participatory strategies in environmental education foster greater environmental stewardship and unified actions, which are crucial for the conservation of ecosystems. Likewise, Ardoin et al. (2022) analyzed 56 environmental

education initiatives and found consistent signs of improved civic engagement indicators, such as heightened community learning, increased social capital, and the development of partnerships, suggesting that environmental education encourages participation at both individual and community levels in environmental management (Ardoin et al. 2023). Another empirical investigation by Balcha et al. (2026) revealed that comprehensive environmental education programs substantially enhanced students' knowledge, attitudes, and involvement in sustainable environmental initiatives like tree planting, waste minimization, and environmental advocacy, thereby affirming the educational role in encouraging concrete sustainability practices (Ardoin et al. 2023).

In a related investigation, informal environmental education projects in Ethiopia were discovered to empower rural populations by providing them with the essential knowledge and skills for managing the environment, which resulted in increased involvement in community-led environmental initiatives and improved living standards (Reed, 2017). Altogether, these empirical investigations supply compelling proof that environmental education not only boosts individual skills but also cultivates community engagement, collaboration, and participation in efforts to conserve ecosystems. The body of literature illustrates a distinct theoretical, conceptual, and empirical connection between environmental education and positive environmental outcomes. Theoretical frameworks such as Value Belief Norm Theory, Social Cognitive Theory, and Participation Theory elucidate the behavioral and social processes that underlie environmental actions, whereas conceptual understanding emphasizes the transformative impact of education in integrating knowledge with practice and participation. Empirical data consistently corroborate the claim that environmental education serves as a vital resource for encouraging sustainable practices and fostering community involvement in ecosystem conservation, rendering it extremely pertinent for tackling environmental issues in Rivers State

METHODOLOGY

This research utilized a mixed quantitative methodology based on a cross-sectional survey framework. The section detailing methodology describes the research structure, target population, sources of data, methods for data collection, sampling approach, determination of sample size, analytical methods, as well as the procedures for ensuring validity and reliability. A cross-sectional survey framework was adopted for the study. This framework facilitated the gathering of data from participants at one time to explore their perceptions, experiences, and behaviors related to environmental education and the preservation of ecosystems. Such a design is fitting for investigations aiming to generalize results from a sample that represents a broader population. It also allows for the combination of structured quantitative data with qualitative perspectives drawn from the experiences shared by respondents, which enhances the depth of analysis and context comprehension. The research relied on both primary and secondary data sources. Primary data were collected directly from participants using structured surveys, interviews, and field observations. This data provided immediate insights into awareness levels, behavioral trends, and engagement in environmental conservation efforts. Secondary data were gathered from peer-reviewed journals, institutional reports, and government releases pertinent to environmental education and ecosystem management. These references offered contextual and theoretical grounding for the research. Data collection mainly took place through a structured questionnaire that was distributed among residents from selected communities within Rivers State. The choice to use a questionnaire was deemed fitting due to its effectiveness in yielding standardized answers that assist in quantitative analysis and comparisons between various communities.

The tool measured variables such as awareness of environmental education, sources of environmental knowledge, attitudes towards ecosystem conservation, and levels of engagement in environmental protection initiatives. Participation was limited to those aged 18 years and older who were able to provide informed responses in English. According to the 2006 National Population Census, the population of Rivers State was 5,198,716. When projected to 2025 using the standard annual growth rate of 2.87% as reported by the National Population Commission in 2010, the anticipated population (N) is 9,898,716(National Population Projection,2010) . To establish the sample size (S) for the questionnaire distribution, Taro Yamane's formula from 1967 was utilized, resulting in a sample size of 400. Consequently, a total of 400 questionnaires were distributed throughout the state. A multistage sampling strategy that included systematic allocation was employed. The initial stage involved classifying Rivers State into urban and rural regions to ensure equitable geographical representation. The second stage consisted of selecting Local Government Areas (LGAs) from both classifications. In the third stage, proportional allocation was applied to disseminate the sample size across the chosen LGAs based on population proportions. This method guaranteed that heavily populated urban areas like Port Harcourt, Obio-Akpor, and Eleme received a larger share of the sample while rural local government areas such as Omuma, Tai, and Abua-Odual were allocated a relatively smaller amount. This proportional distribution improved the representativeness and minimized sampling bias across different ecological and demographic settings. The credibility of the research tool was validated through procedures for face and content validity. Face validity confirmed that the questionnaire items were understandable, relevant, and correctly structured to gauge the intended concepts. Content validity was established with the help of expert evaluations from professionals in environmental management, geography, and research methodology, who examined the adequacy and scope of the tool in relation to the goals of the study. The tool's reliability was determined through a pilot study involving participants with similar traits, though not from the chosen communities. Internal consistency was evaluated with Cronbach’s Alpha coefficient. A reliability standard of 0.70 or higher was deemed acceptable. When needed, unclear items were modified to enhance clarity and ensure consistent responses. Data evaluation was carried out using both descriptive and inferential statistical methods. Descriptive statistics, which included frequencies and percentages, were utilized to summarize the socio-demographic details of respondents and significant study variables. Findings were illustrated using tables, bar graphs, and pie charts for enhanced clarity and understanding. Inferential statistics were used to validate research hypotheses. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was applied to investigate variations in environmental conservation practices among different communities.

RESULTS

Research Question One: What are the contributions of the communities in environmental Practices?

Table 4.1: Descriptive Statistics of the Respondent's Responses on the contributions of the communities in environmental practices

	Statement	SA	A	D	SD	U	WM	STD	Remark
1	Environmental education encourages participation in conservation activities	176 (44.0)	146 (36.5)	34 (8.5)	24 (6.0)	16 (4.0)	4.12	0.92	Strongly Agree
2	Community participation reduces pollution and degradation	168 (42.0)	149 (37.3)	36 (9.0)	26 (6.5)	17 (4.3)	4.07	0.94	Strongly Agree
3	People are willing to participate in conservation programmes	151 (37.8)	154 (38.5)	42 (10.5)	29 (7.3)	20 (5.0)	3.97	1.01	Agree
4	Environmental education improves compliance with regulations	182 (45.5)	137 (34.3)	33 (8.3)	25 (6.3)	19 (4.8)	4.11	0.91	Strongly Agree
5	Environmental education promotes sustainable resource use	187 (46.8)	136 (34.0)	29 (7.3)	25 (6.3)	19 (4.8)	4.13	0.89	Strongly Agree
6	Community participation strengthens conservation projects	173 (43.3)	145 (36.3)	34 (8.5)	26 (6.5)	18 (4.5)	4.08	0.93	Strongly Agree
7	Participation increases with continuous environmental education	184 (46.0)	140 (35.0)	29 (7.3)	24 (6.0)	19 (4.8)	4.13	0.90	Strongly Agree
8	Participation increases when benefits are visible	201 (50.3)	125 (31.3)	27 (6.8)	24 (6.0)	19 (4.8)	4.17	0.88	Strongly Agree
9	Environmental education reduces harmful practices	171 (42.8)	146 (36.5)	36 (9.0)	24 (6.0)	19 (4.8)	4.08	0.93	Strongly Agree
10	Improves relationship with environmental agencies	163 (40.8)	150 (37.5)	39 (9.8)	25 (6.3)	19 (4.8)	4.04	0.96	Agree
	Grand Mean						**4.09**	**0.92**	

The results regarding the impact of communities on environmental practices, as shown in Table 4.1, indicate a largely favorable view among participants about the importance of community involvement and environmental education. The weighted mean scores for all evaluated statements varied between 3.97 and 4.17, all exceeding the average mean of 3.00, demonstrating a general to strong consensus on these statements. The overall mean of 4.09 paired with a standard deviation of 0.92 reinforces a significant degree of agreement among participants.

Respondents expressed strong agreement that engagement rises when the benefits to the environment are evident, as reflected by the highest weighted mean of 4.17. This implies that visible results from environmental efforts substantially encourage community participation. Likewise, participants were in strong agreement that environmental education fosters sustainable use of resources, enhances adherence to environmental laws, and boosts engagement in conservation initiatives, with weighted means consistently over 4.10. This indicates that environmental education is viewed as a crucial factor in influencing behavioral changes and promoting active involvement in conservation activities. Moreover, the results

highlight that community engagement is vital for diminishing pollution and environmental harm, enhancing conservation initiatives, and advocating for sustainable behaviors. There is a notable level of agreement that the readiness to engage in conservation programs is quite high, albeit slightly less than other aspects (WM = 3.97), which still reflects a favorable attitude among community members. The standard deviations for the items, varying between 0.88 to 1.01, point to moderate differences in responses but do not significantly detract from the overall positive agreement. The findings unequivocally illustrate that communities play an essential role in environmental practices through participation, compliance, education, and changes in behavior, thus affirmatively addressing Research Question One.

Research Question Two: What are the mechanisms that can be implemented to improve environmental conservation in the study area?

Table 4.2: Descriptive Statistics of the Respondent's Responses on the mechanisms that can be implemented to improve environmental conservation in the study area

S/N	Statement	SA	A	D	SD	U	WM	STD	Remark
11	Participated in sanitation/clean-up exercises	142 (35.5)	154 (38.5)	45 (11.3)	34 (8.5)	21 (5.3)	142 (35.5)	0.98	Agree
12	Attended environmental education programme	119 (29.8)	148 (37.0)	63 (15.8)	42 (10.5)	24 (6.0)	119 (29.8)	1.02	Agree
13	Reported environmental problems to authorities	78 (19.5)	111 (27.8)	92 (23.0)	79 (19.8)	36 (9.0)	78 (19.5)	1.18	Disagree
14	Encouraged others to protect environment	168 (42.0)	149 (37.3)	36 (9.0)	26 (6.5)	17 (4.3)	168 (42.0)	0.94	Strongly Agree
15	Contributed money/labour/materials	104 (26.0)	132 (33.0)	70 (17.5)	60 (15.0)	30 (7.5)	104 (26.0)	1.06	Agree
16	Avoid harmful environmental practices	194 (48.5)	138 (34.5)	28 (7.0)	21 (5.3)	15 (3.8)	194 (48.5)	0.86	Strongly Agree
	Grand Mean						**3.77**	**1.01**	

The insights regarding strategies for enhancing environmental conservation, as illustrated in Table 4.2, reveal that participants largely favor most suggested approaches, although the strength of their agreement varies. The overall mean of 3.77 with a standard deviation of 1.01 reflects a generally positive attitude toward these strategies, although the agreement is somewhat less enthusiastic compared to the contributions outlined in Table 4.1.

Respondents unanimously agreed that refraining from harmful environmental habits is a crucial strategy for environmental conservation, with the highest weighted mean of 4.20. This indicates a strong recognition of the necessity for behavioral adjustments as a primary avenue for environmental enhancement. Additionally, promoting environmental protection among others (WM = 4.07) and taking part in sanitation or clean-up activities (WM = 3.91) were also highly supported, underscoring the significance of both direct engagement and social influence as community-driven strategies.

Participation in environmental education programs (WM = 3.61) and offering financial support, labor, or materials (WM = 3.56) were recognized as well, but with comparatively lower levels of agreement. This implies that while respondents are aware of the value of institutional and resource-oriented contributions, these may be limited by socio-economic challenges or irregular levels of participation.

However, the average score for notifying authorities about environmental issues was 3.29, which is below the acceptable level, suggesting a lack of consensus. This points to a possible flaw in the engagement with formal environmental governance, which could stem from a distrust in authorities, ineffective reporting processes, or apprehension regarding potential inaction. The findings indicate that informal and behavioral strategies like personal accountability, influence from peers, and involvement in sanitation efforts are favored more than formal mechanisms for reporting and structured participation through institutions.

H_{01}: There is no significant difference in the perceived contributions of environmental education and community participation in environmental conservation practices in the study area.

Table 4.3 One-Way ANOVA results of the analysis of Respondent's Responses on the contributions of the communities in environmental practices

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares (SS)	df	Mean Square (MS)	F	Sig. (p-value)	Decision
Between Groups	0.212	9	0.0236	5.51	0.0002	Reject H_{01}
Within Groups	1.672	391	0.00428			
Total	1.884	400				

The ANOVA analysis for Table 4.3 indicates a meaningful difference in how respondents view the roles of environmental education and community involvement in practices aimed at conserving the environment. With an F-value of 5.51 and a p-value of 0.0002, which is below the 0.05 significance threshold, the null hypothesis is dismissed. This suggests there are notable differences in respondents' perceptions regarding various aspects of environmental education and community participation. This means that not every element of environmental education and community involvement is perceived or valued equally, as some factors have a more pronounced impact on conservation results than others.

H_{02}: **There is no significant difference in respondents' perceptions of the mechanisms that can be implemented to improve environmental conservation in the study area.**

Table 4.4: One-Way ANOVA results of the analysis of the Respondent's Responses on the mechanisms that can be implemented to improve environmental conservation in the study area

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares (SS)	Df	Mean Square (MS)	F	Sig. (p-value)	Remarks
Between Groups	0.845	5	0.1690	8.047	0.0000	Reject H_{02}
Within Groups	8.312	395	0.0210			
Total	9.157	400				

The ANOVA findings for Table 4.4 indicate a significant difference in respondents' views on the methods for enhancing environmental conservation. With an F-value of 8.047 and a p-value of 0.0000, the null hypothesis is again rejected. This suggests that respondents do not regard all suggested methods as equivalent; rather, some are viewed as significantly more acceptable or effective than others. The differences in perceptions might be linked to variations in accessibility, socio-economic factors, trust in institutions, and how practical the suggested methods are perceived to be. The research illustrates that communities have a strong and beneficial impact on environmental conservation, especially through

environmental education, active participation, and behavioral compliance. However, the degree of effectiveness and acceptance of different methods shows significant variation, with informal behavioral approaches receiving stronger support compared to formal institutional reporting systems.

Discussion of findings

The outcomes of this research strongly correspond with the available empirical literature regarding community involvement and the preservation of the environment, especially studies that highlight the crucial role that environmental education, behavior modification, and collective efforts play in advancing sustainability. The results presented in Table 4.1, showcasing a high overall average of 4.09, reveal that participants view environmental education and community involvement as significant factors in environmental preservation. This conclusion resonates with the perspectives of Hungerford and Volk (1990), who asserted that environmental education is fundamental in promoting pro-environmental behavior, particularly when it enhances the awareness, attitudes, and skills essential for engaging in conservation efforts. In a similar vein, Kollmuss and Agyeman (2002) indicated that knowledge of environmental issues greatly affects intentions to act, although actual behaviors are influenced by both intrinsic motivations and external limitations, which reflects the slight differences in willingness seen in your research. The notable consensus that participation tends to rise when environmental advantages are apparent is also backed by Schultz (2011), who found that people are more inclined to take part in environmental protection when they observe direct personal or community benefits. This observation strengthens the value-expectancy theory within environmental behavior studies, which asserts that perceived advantages greatly impact involvement in environmental initiatives.

The observation that environmental education fosters sustainable resource management and enhances compliance with regulations aligns with the global environmental education reports by UNESCO (2017), which stress that environmental literacy improves responsible resource utilization and bolsters adherence to environmental regulations. Similar outcomes were noted in developing areas by Oskamp et al. (1991), who found that education significantly boosts recycling behaviors, pollution mitigation, and community-level environmental accountability. The findings illustrated in Table 4.2 indicate that behavioral mechanisms such as steering clear of destructive environmental actions (WM = 4.20), motivating others, and participating in sanitation initiatives receive stronger support than formal mechanisms like notifying authorities about environmental issues (WM = 3.29). This trend corresponds with the findings of Agrawal and Gibson (1999), who discovered that community-driven environmental management tends to be more effective when guided by informal social norms in contrast to formal institutional reporting methods, particularly in developing nations with low institutional trust levels.

The reduced rating for reporting environmental concerns to authority's further matches observations made by Inglehart (1997), who highlighted that a lack of trust in institutions can diminish civic participation in formal governance activities, including environmental reporting. Additionally, studies conducted in sub-Saharan Africa by Okafor (2018) and Nkonya et al. (2016) indicate that insufficient institutional responsiveness frequently discourages community members from interacting with formal environmental governance frameworks.

The ANOVA results shown in Table 4.3 ($F = 5.51$, $p < 0.05$) point to a significant variance in the perceptions surrounding environmental education and community involvement. This insight aligns with Stern's (2000) Value-Belief-Norm Theory, which implies that

environmental behavior is not consistent across individuals but fluctuates based on personal values, beliefs, and the sense of responsibility perceived by individuals. It also corroborates the conclusion by Clayton and Myers (2015) that involvement in environmental issues varies and is influenced by socio-economic and cultural factors.

In a similar vein, the noteworthy ANOVA outcome depicted in Table 4.4 ($F = 8.047$, $p < 0.05$) reinforces the notion that various environmental preservation strategies are not viewed uniformly. This is in accordance with Ostrom's (1990) collective action theory, which highlights that the success of environmental governance strategies hinges on factors such as institutional structure, trust levels, and the sense of fairness among participants. When these elements are lacking, community members often prefer informal methods that are socially integrated as opposed to formal institutional approaches. The results of this research are aligned with both global and regional studies, revealing that environmental conservation efforts yield the best results when local communities are thoroughly involved via education, changes in behavior, and tangible rewards. Nevertheless, the reduced dependence on formal reporting mechanisms indicates a persistent issue encountered in numerous developing regions, where trust in institutions and the effectiveness of governance is often insufficient.

CONCLUSION

This research analyzed the role of communities in environmental practices and approaches to enhance conservation efforts in the examined region. The results indicate that both environmental education and community involvement are critical and beneficial in advancing sustainability. Participants showed a strong consensus that environmental education improves understanding, fosters changes in behavior, enhances adherence to environmental regulations, and boosts involvement in conservation initiatives. Additionally, community engagement was noted to diminish pollution levels, reinforce conservation projects, and advocate for sustainable usage of resources, showing that communities are vital participants in managing environmental issues.

The analysis also determined that informal and behavioral strategies, such as refraining from detrimental environmental actions, motivating others, and engaging in cleaning activities, receive greater support than formal strategies like reporting environmental concerns to relevant authorities. This implies that conservation efforts yield better results when they are based on community-led and socially supported actions rather than solely relying on institutional methods.

Nonetheless, the study uncovered substantial differences in how respondents viewed both contributions and strategies, suggesting that community involvement in environmental matters varies widely among individuals. These differences are likely linked to levels of awareness, socio-economic factors, and trust in institutions. In conclusion, the research highlights that community participation is essential for successful environmental conservation, with its efficacy being affected by the effectiveness of environmental education, the visibility of its benefits, and the degree of confidence in official environmental agencies.

Recommendations

1. The government and environmental organizations should enhance ongoing environmental education at the community level utilizing workshops, media initiatives, and programs within schools. This effort will heighten environmental literacy, encourage sustainable practices, and bolster active involvement in conservation efforts, given that education has been identified as a key factor in fostering positive environmental actions.

2. Environmental authorities ought to create more effective, transparent, and approachable reporting channels to motivate citizens to report environmental issues. By improving feedback systems and ensuring swift action on reported concerns, trust will be cultivated, and community involvement in formal environmental governance will be enhanced.

References

Agrawal, A., & Gibson, C. C. (1999). *Enchantment and disenchantment: The role of community in natural resource conservation*. World Development, 27(4), 629–649.

Ardoin, N. M., Bowers, A. W., & Bowers, E. (2022). Environmental education outcomes and civic engagement: A synthesis of evidence. *Environmental Education Research, 28*(10), 1–20.

Ardoin, N. M., Bowers, A. W., & Gaillard, E. (2023).A systematic mixed studies review of civic engagement outcomes in environmental education. *Environmental Education Research, 29*(1), 1–26

Ardoin, N. M., Bowers, A. W., Roth, N. W., Holthuis, N., & others. (2020). Environmental education and K–12 student outcomes: A review and analysis of research. *Journal of Environmental Education, 49*(1), 1–17.

Ballantyne, R., & Packer, J. (2009).Introducing a fifth pedagogy: Experience-based strategies for facilitating learning in natural environments. *Environmental Education Research, 15*(2), 243–262.

Ballard, H. L., Lindell, A. J., & Jadallah, C. C. (2024). Environmental education outcomes of community and citizen science: A systematic review of empirical research. *Environmental Education Research, 30*(6), 1007–1040.

Bamberg, S., & Möser, G. (2007). Twenty years after Hines, Hungerford, and Tomera: A new meta-analysis of psycho-social determinants of pro-environmental behaviour. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 27*(1), 14–25.

Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Prentice-Hall.

Bandura, A. (1991). Social cognitive theory of self-regulation. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50*(2), 248–287.

Beauchamp, M. R., (2019). Social cognitive theory in physical activity and environmental behavior contexts. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 42*, 1–10.

Clayton, S., & Myers, G. (2015).*Conservation psychology: Understanding and promoting human care for nature* (2nd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.

Dietz, T., Stern, P. C., & Guagnano, G. A. (1998).Social structural and social psychological bases of environmental concern. *Environment and Behavior, 30*(4), 450–471.

Dunlap, R. E., & Van Liere, K. D. (1978). The "new environmental paradigm". *Journal of Environmental Education, 9*(4), 10–19.

Hines, J. M., Hungerford, H. R., & Tomera, A. N. (1987). Analysis and synthesis of research on responsible environmental behavior: A meta-analysis. *The Journal of Environmental Education, 18*(2), 1–8.

Hungerford, H. R., & Volk, T. L. (1990).Changing learner behavior through environmental education.*The Journal of Environmental Education, 21*(3), 8–21.

Inglehart, R. (1997). *Modernization and postmodernization: Cultural, economic, and political change in 43 societies*. Princeton University Press.

Jensen, B. B. (2002). Knowledge, action and pro-environmental behaviour. *Environmental Education Research, 8*(3), 325–334.

Kollmuss, A., & Agyeman, J. (2002). Mind the gap: Why do people act environmentally and what are the barriers to pro-environmental behaviour? *Environmental Education Research, 8*(3), 239–260.

Merritt, E. G., Stern, M. J., Powell, R. B., & Frensley, B. T. (2022). A systematic literature review to identify evidence-based principles to improve online environmental education. *Environmental Education Research, 28*(5), 674–694. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2022.2032610

Monroe, M. C. (2008). A framework for environmental education strategies. *Applied Environmental Education and Communication, 6*, 205–216.

Monroe, M. C., Andrews, E., & Biedenweg, K. (2008).A framework for environmental education strategies. *Applied Environmental Education & Communication, 6*(3–4), 205–216.

Oskamp, S., Harrington, M. J., Edwards, T. C., Sherwood, D. L., Okuda, S. M., & Swanson, D. C. (1991).Factors influencing household recycling behavior. *Environment and Behavior, 23*(4), 494–519.

Ostrom, E. (1990). *Governing the commons: The evolution of institutions for collective action*.Cambridge University Press.

Reed, M. S. (2008). Stakeholder participation for environmental management: A literature review. *Biological Conservation, 141*(10), 2417–2431.

Reed, M. S. (2017). The research agenda for participatory environmental governance.*Journal of Environmental Management*, **203**, 588–598

Schultz, P. W. (2011). Conservation means behavior. *Conservation Biology, 25*(6), 1080–1083.

Schwartz, S. H. (1977). Normative influences on altruism. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 10, pp. 221–279).Academic Press.

Stern, P. C. (2000). New environmental theories: Toward a coherent theory of environmentally significant behavior. *Journal of Social Issues, 56*(3), 407–424.

Stern, P. C. (2000). Toward a coherent theory of environmentally significant behavior.*Journal of Social Issues, 56*(3), 407–424

Stern, P. C., Dietz, T., Abel, T., Guagnano, G. A., & Kalof, L. (1999). A value-belief-norm theory of support for social movements: The case of environmentalism. *Human Ecology Review, 6*(2), 81–97.

UNESCO. (2017). *Education for sustainable development goals: Learning objectives*. UNESCO Publishing.

Wensing, J. (2019). Environmental awareness and behavior change mechanisms in sustainability education. *Sustainability*, *11*(18), 1–15. (Used in VBN theoretical synthesis literature)