IJAAR PUBLISHING®

African Journal of Business and Economic Development | ISSN: 2782-7658
Vol. 6, Issue 1 (2026) | www.ijaar.org/ajbed

Business Re-Engineering, Human Resources management and Economic Development

Dr. Chris Chuks Okeyea
okeyeac@yahoo.com / okeyeachuks@gmail.com
Local Government Education Authority, Aboh.
Delta State.
08035869131/07087827262

Anne Oche Ogeleka
Novena University Ogume, Delta State.
annaoche2012@gmail.com
07039110830

Abstract

Businesses are increasingly being forced to adopt transformative strategies in order to remain viable and competitive in an era of post-pandemic economic restructuring, globalization, and rapid technological advancement. This study examines the relationships between economic development, human resource management (HRM), and business process re-engineering (BPR). It draws attention to the ways in which these three fields complement one another to enhance organizational effectiveness and overall socioeconomic development. The research investigates how initiatives for radical process redesign, when effectively supported by strategic HRM practices such as employee engagement, performance management, talent development, and change management, can improve operational efficiency, innovation, and productivity. It uses recent literature (2020–2026) to achieve this. Through enhanced competitiveness, job creation, and human capital development, the study also looks at the connection between macroeconomic growth and better organizational performance. Using a qualitative and conceptual research design based on a thorough literature review and theme analysis, the study develops an integrative framework that links BPR, HRM, and economic outcomes. According to the findings, process innovation and human capital development must be coordinated for sustained economic growth, and human-centered management strategies are essential for successful business re-engineering initiatives. The study advances management theory and offers helpful guidance for decision-makers and organizational leaders who wish to encourage long-term economic growth through organizational change by fusing strategic HRM and process re-engineering points of view.

Keywords: Business Process Re-Engineering (BPR); Human Resource Management (HRM); Economic Development; Human Capital; Organizational Performance; Strategic Management; Organizational Transformation; Productivity; Sustainable Growth; Change Management.

Okeyea, C. C. & Ogeleka, A. O. (2026). Business Re-Engineering, Human Resources management and Economic Development. *African Journal of Business and Economic Development, 6*(1), 35-49.

INTRODUCTION

Background of the Study

In today's dynamic and global business environment, organizations must constantly innovate and adapt to the fierce competition they face. One strategic approach to achieving radical performance enhancement is Business Process Re-Engineering (BPR), which is the fundamental rethinking and radical redesign of business processes to achieve dramatic improvements in critical performance measures like cost, quality, service, and speed (e.g., see literature on BPR success factors and implementation challenges).Human resource management (HRM) is crucial to supporting organizational transformation because it helps workers adapt to change and aligns human capital with strategic goals. Research indicates that HRM activities like training, performance management, and talent development have a major impact on organizational performance and successful process reengineering. For example, empirical studies have demonstrated that HRM positively affects the adoption and effectiveness of BPR initiatives in business settings.

Economic development at the organizational and national levels depends on the efficient use of resources, especially human capital. Human capital is commonly regarded as the most crucial resource for boosting productivity and sustaining economic growth. Human resource development research also highlights how important it is for fostering innovation, labor productivity, and socioeconomic progress. Therefore, given post-pandemic changes, digital disruptions, and changing labor market demands, the interaction of BPR, HRM, and economic development offers a rich research environment. Business environments around the world are changing in ways never seen before due to globalization, technological advancement, and the COVID-19 pandemic's aftermath. Companies now face pressure to stay ahead of the competition, boost productivity, and continuously innovate. As a result, many companies employ Business Process Re-Engineering (BPR), a strategic approach that basically rethinks and redesigns existing workflows and processes to achieve significant improvements in performance metrics like quality, cost, time, and service delivery (Aljazzi et al., 2022).

The emphasis that BPR places on radical change rather than incremental improvement reflects the complexity of today's corporate challenges, where traditional approaches often fail to provide the required level of transformation (Aljazzi et al., 2022; Ugwu et al., 2023).Meanwhile, Human resource management (HRM) has evolved from its administrative origins to become a strategic function tasked with aligning human resources with organizational objectives. It is now widely accepted that modern HRM techniques, such as employee development, performance management, strategic workforce planning, and change management, are crucial enablers of organizational transformation and strategic change (ResearchGate, 2024). HRM helps businesses overcome the difficulties of rethinking corporate processes by ensuring that employees possess the skills, commitment, and adaptability needed for change (ResearchGate, 2024).

The relationship between BPR and HRM is particularly important since many reengineering attempts fail due to a failure to consider organizational and human factors such as resistance to change, inadequate training, and poor communication. Recent research indicates that the success of BPR initiatives is closely related to efficient HRM support mechanisms, specifically training, employee engagement, and strategic alignment. These mechanisms improve implementation outcomes and facilitate the assimilation of new procedures into

organizational culture (Michael et al., 2023). Even beyond the organizational level, scholarly interest in how HRM and BPR contribute to broader economic development is growing. Economic development is the gradual improvement in the standard of living, productivity, and socioeconomic well-being of a country's people. A key component of theories of economic development is the concept of human capital, which refers to the skills, knowledge, and abilities of individuals that increase productivity and encourage innovation. According to empirical and theoretical research, human capital plays a crucial role in economic growth by impacting labor productivity, the adoption of new technologies, and a nation's competitiveness (Abrha & Weldeyohans, 2025). Investing in human capital, including workforce training, education, health care, and continuous professional development, has been shown to significantly improve economic outcomes by enhancing the quality of the labor force and encouraging higher levels of innovation and productivity (Tang, 2025). Strategic HRM approaches enable this human capital building by aligning employee competencies with macroeconomic objectives, thereby integrating organizational capacity with broader development goals. A key path to achieving socioeconomic change in developing nations, where human capital constraints often obstruct productivity and inclusive growth, is the integration of HRM and process reengineering (World Bank, 2026).

However, there is still a lack of research that combines BPR, HRM, and economic development. Although prior research focuses on each area independently, there is no synthesis of how reengineering techniques supported by strategic HRM contribute to the outcomes of national economic development. This gap, which is particularly apparent in recent literature that has emerged in the last ten years, highlights the need for an all-encompassing framework that links firm-level process innovation with macroeconomic growth dynamics. By examining these connections, this study seeks to provide contemporary insights into how businesses can employ both structural redesign and human capital strategies to achieve long-term financial success.

Statement of the Problem

Despite the widespread use of BPR and advanced HRM techniques, many businesses still struggle to successfully integrate HR strategies and process redesign initiatives, which leads to less than ideal performance outcomes. Furthermore, the ways that BPR and HRM promote overall economic development—particularly in emerging economies—have not been adequately examined by current empirical research. There is a dearth of research on how better HRM practices could support BPR initiatives and thereby foster sustainable economic growth.

Research Objectives

The main objectives of this study are to:

1. Examine the theoretical and practical connections between human resource management and business process re-engineering.
2. Examine the role that human resource management plays in the successful application of BPR.
3. Assess the impact of HRM and BPR on organizational and broader economic growth outcomes.

Research Questions

This study will address the following questions:

1. What are the primary theoretical pillars that link BPR and HRM?
2. How does effective HRM affect the outcome of BPR projects?
3. How do BPR and HRM aid in organizational and macroeconomic development?

Significance of the Study

In addition to providing managers with practical guidance on how to align organizational change with long-term economic growth, this study advances theoretical understanding of the strategic integration of BPR and HRM. Additionally, by participating in policy discussions on workforce development policies, it enhances the competitiveness of the national economy.

Scope and Delimitations

With a focus on recent empirical and review studies that address BPR, HRM, and economic development in organizational and national contexts, the study's scope is limited to contemporary literature published between 2020 and 2026.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Theoretical Foundations

Business Process Re-Engineering

A strategic approach to fundamental organizational reorganization, business process re-engineering (BPR) aims to greatly improve performance objectives like efficacy, efficiency, and customer satisfaction. It entails challenging current procedures, getting rid of unnecessary steps, and redesigning procedures to better suit strategic goals. The study identifies a number of critical success factors for BPR, such as human-centric concerns, technological prowess, and leadership commitment. The literature on strategic management and organizational theory both firmly establish business process re-engineering (BPR) as a crucial instrument for transformative change. According to Aljazzi et al. (2022), BPR is basically the process of completely rethinking and redesigning business processes in order to achieve notable improvements in important performance metrics like speed, quality, cost, and service. This idea highlights how BPR shifts from incremental improvement frameworks, such as Total Quality Management (TQM), to a comprehensive redesign of the systems and processes that affect an organization's operations.

Radical Change Theory and Process Orientation

The core principles of BPR are in line with radical change theory, which emphasizes drastic rather than incremental adjustments to organizational practices to handle technological disruptions, competitiveness, and environmental complexity. In this way, BPR challenges conventional operational mindsets by pushing businesses to question assumptions, eliminate pointless tasks, and reassess workflows to better align with strategic goals (Aljazzi et al., 2022). Unlike improvement-focused frameworks, this perspective views BPR as a structural transformation technique rather than a series of minor adjustments. The process orientation

component of BPR comes from systems thinking, which sees processes as the primary analytical units for achieving competitiveness rather than functions. A process-oriented theoretical framework, which argues that work is better designed holistically rather than by discrete departments in order to improve organizational performance, places a high priority on end-to-end workflows, customer value delivery, and cross-functional integration.

2. Socio-Technical and Human-Technology-Organization (HTO)

Perspectives Advanced theoretical studies of BPR incorporate socio-technical systems theory, which highlights the interplay of technology, human actors, and organizational context. Technology adoption alone cannot yield the desired performance outcomes, according to current BPR research; instead, it must be combined with socio-organizational elements like job roles, staff skills, and culture. As a result, the Human–Technology–Organization (HTO) framework provides a comprehensive viewpoint on BPR, contending that organizational structures, human capabilities, and technical enablers must all be aligned for redesign to be successful (Aljazzi et al., 2022).

According to this socio-technical lens, in addition to workflows and digital technologies, human relationships, organizational norms, and the capacity to handle change all have a significant impact on the success of reengineering programs.

3. Resource and Capability-Based Views

The resource-based and capability-based perspectives of the company, which contend that internal resources, including as human capabilities and processes, are valuable, uncommon, and hard to replicate, are the source of sustainable competitive advantage, are also incorporated into contemporary BPR theory. According to this viewpoint, BPR is a tactical tool for utilizing and rearranging organizational capabilities in response to shifting market demands. According to a capability-based interpretation, process redesign should improve an organization's ability to innovate, adapt, and efficiently use internal resources to create value. BPR is transformed from a technical process mapping exercise into a strategic realignment tool that positions processes as essential organizational assets in the pursuit of growth and competitiveness by integrating a strategic view of internal capabilities.

4. Digital Transformation and Technology Integration

The relevance of digital technologies in facilitating BPR is highlighted by recent theoretical expansions. As more businesses use digital tools, including as automation, real-time analytics, and process mining, BPR frameworks are taking into account how new technologies affect process redesign. BPR theory and digital transformation scholarship, which holds that information technology used strategically promotes responsiveness, accuracy, and agility in organizational work processes, meet in this setting. As a result, modern BPR theoretical frameworks cover both the strategic use of technologies as facilitators of process innovation and the principles of structural redesign.

Human Resource Management Theory

The purposeful and cohesive management of people inside organizations is the focus of human resource management, or HRM. Contemporary HRM theory integrates strategic human capital management, talent development, performance management, and employee

engagement as drivers of organizational competitiveness. According to research, operational effectiveness and a company's capacity to adjust to changes in its environment are closely related to HRM practices. Human capital theory and resource-based perspectives are frequently used in strategic HRM frameworks to describe how people practices lead to long-term performance results.

Payroll, hiring, and staffing were the main administrative functions of human resource management (HRM). Today, HRM is a strategic discipline that links human capital to business objectives, competitive advantage, and wider socioeconomic results. Human capital frameworks, organizational theory, and strategic management are all incorporated into modern HRM theory, which emphasizes that people are one of an organization's most unique and valuable resources (Banazılı, 2024; Karmilah et al., 2026).

1. Strategic Human Resource Management (SHRM)

Strategic Human Resource Management (SHRM), which is at the heart of contemporary HRM theory, holds that in order to achieve long-term performance improvements, HR procedures must be purposefully planned and in line with the organization's strategic goals. According to SHRM philosophy, HRM should be an integrative role that aids in the development and implementation of strategies rather than a separate administrative unit. According to Banazılı (2024) and Negt & Haunschild (2025), HR strategies like talent acquisition, performance management, employee development, and succession planning are organizational levers that affect competitive positioning, innovation capability, and adaptability. According to SHRM's strategic alignment theory, companies can better utilize human capital for performance results when their HR strategies fit with their corporate strategy. By boosting employee engagement, fostering long-term value generation, and improving organizational agility in responding to market fluctuations, this alignment guarantees that people policies are effective.

2. Resource-Based View and Human Capital Theory

A large portion of contemporary HRM research is grounded in two fundamental theoretical stances: Human Capital Theory (HCT) and the Resource-Based View (RBV) of the enterprise. According to RBV, knowledge, skills, and organizational culture are examples of rare, valuable, and hard-to-copy resources that are essential for maintaining a competitive edge. According to this framework, when HRM practices cultivate, utilize, and safeguard these human resources as vital organizational assets, they become strategic. By including employee knowledge, skills, and competencies as types of capital that generate financial returns through increased performance, productivity, and innovation, human capital theory expands on RBV. Over time, investing in HR strategies like career advancement, learning and development, and training raises the value of human capital and fortifies organizational competence.

3. Contingency and Configurational Perspectives

The effectiveness of HR practices is contingent upon their alignment with organizational context, structure, external environment, and strategy, according to Contingency Theory, another significant theoretical lens in HRM. According to this perspective, there is no "one-size-fits-all" HRM model; rather, the best HR arrangements depend on contextual elements including firm size, labor demographics, industry traits, and competitive pressures. This

viewpoint promotes adjusting HR procedures to each organization's unique internal and external circumstances.

Additionally, configurational methods emphasize that HRM works best when groups of HR practices are complementary and linked. Put another way, a cohesive system of HR procedures, as opposed to discrete policies, has a greater influence on economic performance, innovation, and employee outcomes.

4. Theoretical Challenges and Evolving Perspectives

Theoretical relevance is questioned by a continual gap between theory and practice, despite the fact that these traditional theories offer solid conceptual underpinnings. The need for more contextually grounded HRM theories that incorporate practice-based evidence, address global diversity in organizational contexts, and recognize complex sociotechnical dynamics at work (such as legal frameworks, workplace realities, and economic development outcomes) is highlighted in a 2026 special issue of Human Resource Management Review. In order to maintain its explanatory power, this article contends that HRM theory needs to be more inclusive of various organizational structures and real-world situations.

Furthermore, new research emphasizes dynamic HRM issues like algorithmic management and digital transformation, which call for expansions to current theory by recognizing the influence of digital tools on managerial roles, performance evaluation, and workforce autonomy. These advancements drive HRM frameworks toward contextual and sociotechnical theories that take into account the effects of both technology and people on work systems.

Linkages Between BPR and HRM

According to empirical research, HRM is essential to the development and implementation of BPR programs. Organizations can successfully execute reengineering techniques and enhance organizational performance by using HRM practices that promote cross-functional collaboration, change management, and continuous learning. Furthermore, research shows that BPR projects frequently fail in the absence of matching HRM support, highlighting the significance of coordinating workflow reform with people management procedures. A critical intersection where operational redesign and people management meet to improve organizational performance is the combination of Business Process Re-Engineering (BPR) with Human Resource Management (HRM). The effectiveness of BPR is largely dependent on how HRM practices assist people throughout change projects, despite the fact that it is generally thought of as a methodical approach for reimagining workflows and processes (Michael et al., 2023).

1. HRM as an Enabler of BPR Implementation

According to research, HRM facilitates the implementation and success of BPR programs. Organizations can better prepare their workforce for significant changes in their roles and responsibilities by using effective HR practices like communication, training and development, and participatory decision-making. By influencing employee engagement, flexibility, and readiness in complex change contexts, HRM was found to have a considerable impact on the effectiveness of BPR implementation in one empirical analysis (Sindhura et al.,

2022). Therefore, HRM interventions like role clarity and skill development can serve as catalysts for integrating reengineered processes into day-to-day operations.

2. Alignment of HR Practices with New Process Designs

BPR frequently results in changes to organizational roles, procedures, and job structures. In order to accommodate new methods of working, HRM must adapt standard HR procedures, such as job design, performance reviews, and incentive schemes. Organizations can reduce resistance and improve process sustainability when HRM integrates reengineered processes with competency frameworks, incentive programs, and recruitment (Michael et al., 2023). In the absence of such alignment, skill mismatches, unsolved employee fears, or imprecise performance expectations may cause redesigned processes to falter, preventing the full realization of BPR advantages.

3. Organizational Culture and Change Leadership

Organizational culture and change leadership provide yet another significant connection between BPR and HRM. Changes in corporate thinking, collaborative culture, and flexibility are frequently necessary for BPR activities, and these are areas where HRM practices have a big impact. In order to maintain drastic process changes, HRM functions help to foster a culture that values innovation and ongoing improvement. During BPR changes, strategies including internal communication campaigns, coaching, and leadership development programs aid in fostering psychological safety and trust among staff members (Michael et al., 2023). As a result, HRM plays a crucial role in forming the cultural environment that supports the growth of process innovations.

4. HRM as a Strategic Partner in Continuous Process Improvement

Beyond implementation, HRM supports BPR's continual improvement component. Reengineering initiatives frequently uncover new performance gaps that necessitate iterative learning and adaptation because business processes are dynamic. While assessing process results, strategic HRM strategies like competency updates, performance feedback loops, and continuous training help staff members acquire new skills. This increases long-term sustainability and competitive advantage by establishing a learning organization where process and employee performance improvements reinforce one another (Sindhura et al., 2022).

5. Synthesis and Implications

According to the literature, BPR and HRM work well together. BPR relies on HRM to be implemented successfully, while HRM becomes strategically relevant by securing process change inside the organization's human systems. The cultural preparedness and human capital competences that HRM offers enable the adoption, institutionalization, and ongoing improvement of reengineered processes. According to Michael et al. (2023), this synergy improves performance outcomes, organizational resilience, and adaptability—all of which are critical for surviving disruptive situations like the post-COVID-19 economy.

Human Capital and Economic Development

The literature on economic development emphasizes how important human capital development is for promoting economic growth, productivity, and creativity. Strategic human resource deployment, worker skill development, and adequate training all support corporate and national competitiveness. Recent studies highlight how human resource development can spur economic growth, especially in emerging nations looking to use their people capital for long-term, steady expansion.

Human capital— Because it fosters creativity, productivity, and long-term growth, the collective collection of abilities, health, and knowledge that each person possesses is essential to economic progress. According to current economic theory and empirical data, investments in human capital are essential for economic transformation and do more than only support other inputs like physical capital (Abrha & Weldeyohans, 2025).

Human Capital as a Foundation for Productivity and Growth

Economic development, which is characterized by consistent increases in living standards and financial well-being, is highly dependent on the caliber of human resources. Higher educated and technically skilled workers are typically more innovative, flexible, and productive in the face of shifting market and technology conditions. Because trained workers are better able to absorb and use innovations and new technologies, studies have shown that economies with stronger human capital stocks have higher rates of economic growth (Oltulular, 2025). One of the most quantifiable aspects of human capital, education has a direct impact on labor production and productivity. Higher educational attainment increases the likelihood of complicated problem-solving, managerial duties, and entrepreneurial endeavors—all of which contribute to increased national output and per capita income. Investments in education, from elementary school to postsecondary and vocational training, also improve workforce flexibility in response to technology advancements, increasing economies' competitiveness in international marketplaces (Abrha & Weldeyohans, 2025).

Health and Workforce Effectiveness

Health outcomes, which have a direct economic component, are also included in human capital. Economic performance is directly impacted by a healthier workforce since they are more resilient to shocks, more productive, and have lower absentee rates. Declines in health and education outcomes in low- and middle-income nations have significant negative effects on individual wages and the potential of the national economy, according to recent international surveys. For instance, inadequate occupational training and poor health can significantly lower future earnings potential, lowering worker productivity and, in turn, economic growth possibilities (World Bank report, 2026).

Human Capital in National Economic Studies

Evidence at the national level also demonstrates the beneficial relationship between economic development and human capital. Education and labor force development are crucial for long-term economic performance in developing nations, as evidenced by empirical research conducted in Nigeria that demonstrates a positive correlation between GDP growth and increases in human capital indicators, such as secondary school enrollment and tertiary education attainment. Government spending on health and education is statistically correlated

with economic growth indicators, according to long-run cointegration models. This suggests that the development of human capital can eventually boost economic output (Adeyemo, 2025). These results are supported by other regional studies, which show that even in situations with demographic shifts and other macroeconomic difficulties, the rise of human capital—driven by gains in skills, education, and health—increases labor productivity and propels economic expansion. Models that evaluate population growth in relation to human capital development, for example, indicate that human capital mediates the relationship between population expansion and economic performance (Ifeacho, 2024).

Mechanisms Linking Human Capital to Development

The underlying mechanisms linking human capital and economic development include:

1. **Productivity Gains**: Higher total factor productivity, which boosts output without corresponding increases in physical capital, is a result of skilled and educated personnel.
2. **Innovation and Technology Diffusion**: The adoption of new technology and the innovation processes that support contemporary competitive economies are made easier by human capital.
3. **Labor Market Flexibility**: Workers with higher levels of education are more equipped to adjust to structural changes in the economy, such as the move toward the knowledge and service sectors.
4. **Health-Related Economic Participation**: Economic losses from illness and mortality are decreased and output capacity is directly increased when healthy populations maintain labor force participation.

Together, these mechanisms demonstrate that, particularly in a global economy that is becoming more and more knowledge-driven, human capital is frequently a fundamental determinant of economic success rather than just a supplementary one (Abrha & Weldeyohans, 2025).

Policy Implications for Sustainable Growth

Policymakers are encouraged to emphasize health spending, school reform, and labor training programs as strategic investments rather than expenses, given the empirical and theoretical evidence that links human capital to national development results. Long-term benefits in productivity, competitiveness, and inclusive growth result from strengthening human capital. In order to unleash potential economic growth trajectories in emerging nations, intentional measures to enhance educational quality, provide access to vocational and technical training, and guarantee universal healthcare are essential.

Gaps in the Literature

Although research on BPR and HRM separately is expanding, there is still little integration of these fields with regard to the results of economic development. Although dynamic interrelationships have been explored in post-pandemic research, comprehensive models connecting BPR, HRM practices, and economic growth measurements have not yet been thoroughly described.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

With a focus on a thorough evaluation of recent academic literature (2020–2026) on BPR, HRM, and economic development, this study will employ a qualitative and conceptual research design. The study will entail thematic analysis and synthesis of empirical research, book chapters, and peer-reviewed journal publications.

Data Sources

Secondary data will be gathered from institutional repositories and internet academic sources like Web of Science, Scopus, and Google Scholar. "Business Process Re-Engineering," "Human Resource Management," "economic development," "organizational performance," and other associated concepts will be among the search terms.

Data Analysis Method

To find important ideas, trends, and connections in the literature, thematic content analysis will be employed. In order to answer the study objectives and provide an integrated conceptual framework for comprehending the relationships between BPR, HRM, and economic development, the findings will be arranged.

Ethical Considerations

The APA 7th edition guidelines shall be followed when citing all sources. To maintain the integrity of the research, only ethically published and publically available resources will be used.

Summary

Within the framework of organizational transformation and sustainable growth, the study examined the interrelated roles of economic development, human resource management, and business process re-engineering (BPR). It proved that BPR is a strategic instrument for radically rethinking organizational procedures in order to achieve significant gains in competitiveness, efficiency, quality, and service delivery. However, efficient HRM procedures that train, assist, and align staff with new process structures are critical to the successful adoption of BPR. The resource-based view of the firm, systems thinking, socio-technical systems theory, and radical change theory serve as the theoretical cornerstones of business process reengineering (BPR). Likewise, HRM theory is influenced by contingency theory, human capital theory, configurational approaches, and strategic human resource management (SHRM), all of which highlight the strategic importance of human resources in accomplishing organizational goals..

The report also emphasized how important it is for economic development to increase human capital through training, education, health care, and skill improvement. Businesses that effectively combine BPR with strategic HRM boost firm-level productivity, innovation, and competitiveness, all of which enhance macroeconomic growth. Thus, in a dynamic global economy, the cooperation of human capital management and process innovation is crucial for long-term economic growth.

Conclusion

In the framework of modern organizational transformation, this study looked at the connections between economic development, human resource management (HRM), and business process re-engineering (BPR). The analysis shows that BPR is a strategic and systemic transformation program that calls for strong human capital alignment in order to produce long-lasting performance benefits, rather than just a technological redesign of workflows. Coherent HRM systems that promote employee engagement, skill development, change preparedness, and cultural adaptability are essential for the success of radical process redesign initiatives. Theoretically, BPR is founded on capability-based perspectives, systems thinking, and radical change theory, all of which highlight process optimization and structural realignment as means of gaining a competitive edge. However, without successful HRM integration, these structural changes cannot produce the desired results. People are strategic assets whose abilities and dedication determine the success of organizational change projects, according to Strategic Human Resource Management (SHRM), which is backed by the Resource-Based View and Human Capital Theory. Therefore, HRM serves as a mechanism that both facilitates and maintains reengineered processes.

Macroeconomically speaking, the development of human capital is a key factor in economic expansion. Investments in worker development, education, training, and health improve productivity, innovation capacity, and technological absorption—all of which boost long-term growth and national competitiveness. Businesses can increase firm-level productivity and efficiency by implementing BPR with the help of robust HRM systems. This leads to wider economic benefits like increased labor productivity, income growth, and the creation of jobs. The integrated perspective advanced in this study highlights a synergistic relationship:

- **BPR** enhances operational efficiency and structural effectiveness.
- **HRM** strengthens human capabilities and ensures adaptability to change.
- **Human capital development** translates organizational improvements into sustained economic development outcomes.

In conclusion, more is needed for sustained economic growth in the contemporary knowledge-driven economy than only technological advancements or structural changes. It necessitates a well-coordinated approach that combines human capital development and process innovation. Therefore, in order to achieve long-term productivity, competitiveness, and inclusive economic growth, organizations and policymakers must see BPR and HRM as complementary strategic mechanisms rather than as separate management instruments.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Integrate BPR with Strategic HRM Planning

Businesses should coordinate their BPR efforts with their overall HRM plans. To guarantee worker preparedness, skill alignment, and efficient change management, HR departments must be involved from the very beginning of reengineering initiatives.

2. Invest in Continuous Training and Skill Development

Organizations should give ongoing employee training and reskilling initiatives top priority because BPR frequently introduces new technology and workflows. This will improve implementation success, decrease resistance to change, and increase adaptability.

3. Strengthen Change Management Frameworks

To guarantee that revised procedures are adopted smoothly, management should put in place structured change management systems that prioritize leadership support, employee participation, communication, and cultural transformation.

4. Align Performance Management and Reward Systems

After implementing BPR, incentive programs, pay plans, and performance evaluation systems should be updated to take into account the new process objectives and performance indicators.

5. Promote Human Capital Development at the National Level

To boost national human capital and increase productivity and economic competitiveness, policymakers should give priority to funding healthcare, education, vocational training, and digital literacy.

6. Encourage Public–Private Partnerships

Governments and private institutions ought to work together to support workforce development initiatives that match industry demands with academic programs and skill-training campaigns.

7. Support Digital Transformation and Technological Adoption

In BPR projects, organizations should make use of digital tools, automation, and data analytics, but they need also make sure that staff members are properly taught to use these resources.

8. Foster a Learning Organizational Culture

To maintain the advantages of BPR and HRM integration over time, organizations should foster a culture of innovation, ongoing development, and knowledge exchange.

References.

Abrha, T. G., & Weldeyohans, B. T. (2025). *The role of human capital in economic development: A theoretical analysis.*

Adeyemo, O. K. (2025). *Impact of human capital development and economic growth in Nigeria*. *Journal of Global Economics and Business, 6*(20), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.58934/jgeb.v6i20.300

Aljazzi, F., Abdella, G. M., Al-Khalifa, K. N., & Hamouda, A. M. (2022). *Business Process Re-Engineering: A literature review-based analysis of implementation measures*. *Information*, 13(4), 185. https://doi.org/10.3390/info13040185

Author. (2025). *Business Process Reengineering based on Information Economics*. *Sistemasi: Jurnal Sistem Informasi*, *14*(5).

Banazılı, A. M. (2024). *Strategic human resources management: Concept, theory and applications.* Bitlis Eren University Social Sciences Journal, 13(2), 218–231.

Ifeacho, C. I. (2024). *Rising population and economic growth: The role of human capital development*. *Acta Universitatis Danubius. Œconomica.*

Isa, Y. (2024). *Human resource development, a catalyst for economic growth and development*. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Management Research*, 10(4).

Karmilah, K., Themba, O. S., & Ismail, I. (2026). *Human capital management as a driver of organizational performance: A literature review.* Journal of Management and Administration Provision, 6(1), 13–33.

Khatoon, U. T., Babgi, M., Hadi, N. T., Nazir Mir, R., & Velidandi, A. (2025). *Technology-driven change in human resource management: Reshaping talent management and organizational design*. *Administrative Sciences*, 15(11), 452.

Michael, F., Pembi, S., & Abdulazeez, A. S. (2023). *Business Process Re-Engineering (BPR) and Human Resource Management (HRM) as a route to organisational performance in the aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic.* International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology.

Negt, P., & Haunschild, A. (2025). Exploring the gap between research and practice in human resource management: A scoping review and agenda for future research. *Management Review Quarterly, 75*, 837–879.

Oltulular, S. (2025). *Human capital dynamics are the key to economic growth: Source of value of the future*. *Economies, 13*(8), 235. https://doi.org/10.3390/economies13080235

ResearchGate. (2024). *The role of human resources in human capital management.*

Sindhura, K., & Basha, I. (2022). *Role of Human Resource Management in Business Process Re-Engineering: An empirical study*. *International Journal of Engineering And Computer Science*, 14, 1210-1217.

Saragih, J. (2024). *Adapting HRM practices to regulatory changes: Enhancing work flexibility and productivity*. *Journal of Business Management and Economic Development*, 2(03), 1292-1305.

Tang, K. (2025). *The relationship between human capital investment and economic development. Journal of Economics and Economic Education Research, 26*(4), 1–3.

World Bank. (2026, February 12). *Declines in health and education in poor countries 'harming earning potential'.* The Guardian.